SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 10055 6811

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK
Not to be taken from the Library

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1984sanf_0

3 1223 10055 6811

FILE NO. 45-84-10

ORDINANCE NO. 101-84

RO #4251

[Lawsuit]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF DONALD SAGLIN, AGAINST CHARLES GAIN, ET AL., IN THE AMOUNT OF $13,750.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle Superior Court Action No. 756-723, entitled "DONALD SAGLIN, Plaintiff, vs. CHARLES GAIN, et al., Defendants," by payment of the sum of $13,750.00.

RECOMMENDED:

PHILIP S. WARD
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CORNELIUS MURPHY
Chief of Police

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

By: Willie E. Fazio
Secretary

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C. Farrell
Controller

FEB 09 1984

8200C/jr

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAR 28 1984

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 5 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-10 File No.

MAR 14 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 4 1984

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Amended in Cmte. 3/7/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-99

ORDINANCE NO. 122-84

RO #4252 SA #178 to 186

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $25,000,000 FOR FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000098)	Reserve for Capital Improvement	$25,000,000	
	Expenditure Appropriations		
28-00-00	Art Commission		
20-2030 (330308)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj. 884-01	Mission Street Cultural Center, life-safety and public assembly improvements (Phase 2)		$ 374,800
Proj.	South of Market Cultural Center, structural and life-safety improvements (Phase 1)		563,300
	Total Art Commission		$ 938,100
31-00-00	Fire Department		
20-2020 (530428)	Facilities Maintenance Project		
Proj.	Roof Repair, Interior Tile Repair and Exterior and Interior Painting		$ 439,000
35-00-00	Municipal Railway		
20-2020 (560169)	Various Facilities Maintenance Projects		$ 595,000
2030 (560177)	Various Capital Projects Budget		2,957,000 ~~1,557,000~~
	Total Municipal Railway		3,552,000 $ ~~2,152,000~~
38-00-00	Police Department		
20-2020 (580613)	Facilities Maintenance Projects		$ 200,000

Bls 5/7/84

/ / / / / /

/ / / / / /

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
41-00-00	Public Library		
20-2020 (615187)	Facilities Maintenance Project		
Proj.	Main Library and branch libraries rehabilitation and modernization		$ 183,600
20-2030 (364117)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj.	Main Library, basement area, install compact book storage facility		824,800
	Total Public Library		$ 1,008,400
42-00-00	Recreation and Park Department		
20-2020 (372516)	Facilities Maintenance Projects		
Proj.	Coit Tower rehabilitation and repair		$ 500,000
Proj.	GG Park Sharon Building		685,000
20-2030 (372516)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj.	Zoological Gardens, Bear Grotto, reconstruct exhibit areas and drainage and sewer facilities		250,000
Proj.	Golden Gate Park, Japanese Tea Garden, reconstruct gateways (Phase 2)		725,000
Proj.	Twin Peaks, view area, reconstruction and improvements		100,000
Proj.	Civic Center Plaza Reconstruction		780,300
Proj.	~~Golden Gate Park, Beach Chalet, reconstruct building~~		~~800,000~~
Proj.	GG Park Conservatory of Flowers and GG Park Nursery, new growing houses		625,000 ~~950,000~~
Proj.	Kimbell Playground, new children's play area and additional field lighting		355,000
Proj.	Louis Sutter Playground, reconstruct recreation center		280,000
Proj.	~~Westwood Park Neighborhood, new children's play area~~		~~175,000~~
	Total Recreation and Park		4,300,300 $ ~~5,600,300~~

Bls 5/7/84

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
60-00-00	Academy of Sciences		
20-2030 (400028)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj. 929-01	Structural modifications		$ 560,000
Proj.	Roof replacement		730,000
	Total Academy of Sciences		$ 1,290,000
61-00-00	Fine Arts Museum		
20-2020 (401109)	Facilities Maintenance Project		
Proj.	Legion of Honor Exterior Waterproofing and Repair		$ 1,100,000
20-2030 (401109)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj. 833-01	Legion of Honor, roof and skylight replacement (Phase 2)		1,250,000
	Total Fine Arts Museum		$ 2,350,000
83-01-00	Public Health - Administration		
20-2030 (441008)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj.	333 Turk St. remodeling		$ 461,500
85-00-00	Laguna Honda Hospital		
20-2030 (408050)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj.	Various wards and bathrooms, reconstruction and improvements (Phase 1)		$ 750,000
90-00-00	Public Works Department		
20-2020 (776062)	Facilities Maintenance Projects		
Proj. 213-01	Miscellaneous Facilities Projects		$ 98,300
20-2020 (778175)	Facilities Maintenance Projects		
Bls 5/7/84 Proj. 321-01	Street Rehabilitation		3,112,400 ~~3,212,400~~
20-2030 (772079)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj.	City Hall, replace or reconstruct roof and skylights (Phase 2)		1,250,000
Proj.	Various Civic Center buildings, parapet safety projects (Phase 1)		500,000
20-2030 (776062)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj.	Asphalt Plant, purchase and install new components		250,000

/ / / / / /

/ / / / //

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
20-2030 (778175)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj.	Various locations, removal and replacement of City-owned transformers or other electrical equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls		$ 1,500,000
	Total Public Works		6,710,700 $ ~~6,810,700~~
92-00-00	Clean Water Program		
20-2030 ( )	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj.	Great Highway, seawall construction (Phase 1)		$ 3,000,000
	Grand Total	$25,000,000	$25,000,000

Bls 3/7/84

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: James L. Rosenberg
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 09 1984
Raymond Shelley s/o
Mayor

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-99 3/20/84
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-110 ORDINANCE NO. 10384

RO #4242 SA #156

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $100,000 FOR OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES, RECREATION AND PARK - SAN FRANCISCO ZOO FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$100,000	
42-04-02	Recreation and Park - Zoo		
10-1269 (375170)	Other Contractual Services		$100,000

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-110
File No.

MAR 22 1984
Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

RO #4231 SA #149

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $1,064,000 FOR FACILITIES MAINTENANCE PROJECT (STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS REPAIR, CANDLESTICK PARK), RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$464,000	
49-4900 ( )	Revenue Transfer to 02-222 Candlestick Park Fund		$464,000
	Total General Fund	$464,000	$464,000
02-222	Candlestick Park Fund		
99-9990 ( )	Revenue Transfer from 01-001 General Fund	$ 464,000	
G.L. 490 (002196)	Unappropriated Revenue	600,000	
42-03-08	Expenditure Appropriation Recreation & Park - Candlestick Park		
20-2020 (375782)	Facilities Maintenance Project		
Proj. 213-01	Structural Components Repair - Candlestick Park		$1,064,000
	Total Candlestick Park Fund	$1,064,000	$1,064,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Doyney
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
JAN 26 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
Mayor
2/10/84

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-111
File No.

MAR 22 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-112

ORDINANCE NO. 105-84

RO #4238 SA #146

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

Bls 3/7/84

APPROPRIATING ~~$27,200~~ $23,686 FOR DATA PROCESSING - WORD PROCESSING EQUIPMENT AND RESCINDING ~~$27,200~~ $23,686 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, POLICE DEPARTMENT - OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
38-01-43	Police Dept. - Office of Citizen Complaints		
04-0600 (580043)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	$23,686 ~~$27,200~~	
22-2231 (580043)	DP - Word Processing Equipment		$23,686 ~~$27,200~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas M. Toomey
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED:
FEB 01 1984
Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-112
File No.

MAR 22 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, MAHER, MOLINARI, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/7/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-914

ORDINANCE NO. 106-84

RO #4248 SA # 82

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $337,118 ~~$342,941~~ FOR TEMPORARY SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$337,118 ~~$342,941~~	
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
48-4830 (841601)	Contribution to 35-00-00 Municipal Railway Operating Fund		$337,118 ~~$342,941~~
	Total General Fund	$337,118 ~~$342,941~~	$337,118 ~~$342,941~~
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund Revenue Appropriation		
97-9701 (196113)	Contribution from General Fund 01-001 General Fund Unallocated	$337,118 ~~$342,941~~	
35-00-00	Municipal Railway Expenditure Appropriation		
02-0200 (560722)	Temporary Salaries		58,560
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		2,558 ~~6,381~~
11-1399	Materials and Supplies		276,000
	Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund	$337,118 ~~$342,941~~	$337,118 ~~$342,941~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: James A. Dorsey
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
FEB 07 1984

RECOMMENDED:

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

John L. Taylor Clerk

MAR 22 1984

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

FILE NO 101-83-117 ORDINANCE NO. 107-84

RO #4243 SA #137

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $3,052,300 FOR VARIOUS FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET, PUBLIC WORKS - ENGINEERING FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-091	Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund		
G.L. 490 (002063)	Unappropriated Revenue	$1,821,800	
02-092	Road Fund		
G.L. 490 (002071)	Unappropriated Revenue	1,230,500	
02-091	Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund - Expenditure Appropriation		
90-06-00	Public Works - Engineering		
21-2020 (778084)	Facilities Maintenance Project		
Proj. 301-00	Resurfacing of FAU Streets		$ 570,000
21-2030 (778084)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj. 703-01	Traffic Signals & Channelizations		70,000
704-01	Traffic Signals & Channelizations - FAU Streets		160,000
708-01	Modification of Traffic Signals		160,000
743-01	Ocean to Phelan Ave. - Bypass Roadway		265,000
759-01	Bikeways Program Implementation		25,000
850-01	Traffic Control Devices, Various Locations		40,000
851-01	Geary Underpass at Fillmore St.		176,400
852-01	Traffic Signals - Various Locations		100,000
857-01	Street Lighting Improvements-Various Locations		50,000
	3rd and 4th Street Bridges		109,400
	Reconstruction of Great Highway		96,000
	Total Special Gas Tax Improvement Fund		$1,821,800

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-092	Road Fund - Expenditure Appropriation		
21-2020 (778258)	Facilities Maintenance Projects		
Proj.	Broadway Tunnel - Water Leaks		$ 20,300
Proj.	Forest Knolls Stairways		24,000
21-2030 (778258)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj.	Automatic Landscaping Sprinklers		345,000
Proj.	Drawbridges - Structural Inspection		15,000
Proj.	Intersection Channelization		480,300
Proj.	Roadway Improvement		78,600
Proj.	Study - New Control System - 3rd St.		15,000
Proj.	Study - New Control System - 4th St.		20,000
Proj.	Bus Platforms & Sidewalk Modifications		40,000
Proj.	Safety Improvement - Diamond Heights		50,000
Proj.	Traffic Signal Visibility Improvements		100,000
Proj.	Retaining Wall - Diamond Heights		42,300
	Grand Total	$3,052,300	$3,052,300

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Doremus
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 01 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Pierre Rivera
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-117
File No.

MAR 22 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-83-118

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

ORDINANCE NO. 108-84

RO #4232 SA #96

APPROPRIATING $184,000 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - CITY ATTORNEY, WATER DEPARTMENT FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
32-001	Water Department Operating Fund		
G.L.490 (024018)	Unappropriated Revenue	$184,000	
47-00-00	Water Department		
30-3020 (387019)	Services of Other Departments - City Attorney		$184,000

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does thereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas M. Downey
Deputy City Attorney

*See File for Departmental Signatures

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED:

MAR 07 1984

Mayor

CONTROLLER'S DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-118 File No.

MAR 22 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher,~~ Molinari, ~~Nelder,~~ Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

Noes: Supervisors KOPP NELDER

Absent: Supervisors MAHER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-119 ORDINANCE NO. 109-84

RO #4241 SA #160

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $914 FOR REPLENISHMENT OF REVOLVING FUND AND RESCINDING $914 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
08-02-70	Treasurer-Tax Collector		
04-0600 (558015)	Retirement - City	$ 427	
0600 (558072)	Retirement - City	161	
11-00-00	Municipal Court		
04-0600 (317016)	Retirement - City	326	
08-02-70	Treasurer-Tax Collector		
11-1950 (558015)	Replenishment of Revolving Fund		$ 914
	Total	$ 914	$ 914

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Demeny
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 01 1984

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor 2/10/84

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-119 File No.

MAR 22 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-120 ORDINANCE NO. 110-84

RO #4236 SA #113

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $297,025 FOR MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, FOODSTUFFS AND COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT, SHERIFF'S OFFICE FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$297,025	
06-00-00	Sheriff's Office		
04-0600 (306589)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		$112,127
0601 (306597)	Retirement - Non-City		36,447
0601 (306605)	Retirement - Non-City		10,191
11-1371 (306589)	Foodstuffs		124,260
22-2220 (306589)	Communication Equipment		14,000
	Total	$297,025	$297,025

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Kennedy
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
FEB 01 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
Mayor 2/10/84

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-120 MAR 22 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-121 ORDINANCE NO. 111-84

RO #4237 SA #164

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $22,435 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - CAO (INSURANCE AND RISK REDUCTION), ASIAN ART MUSEUM FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G. L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$22,435	
62-00-00	Asian Art Museum		
30-3650 (402040)	Services of Other Departments - CAO Insurance and Risk Reduction		$22,435

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Donnelly
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 01 1984
Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-121 File No.

MAR 22 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $3,462 ~~$5,987~~ FOR OVERTIME AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING $3,462 ~~$5,987~~ FROM TEMPORARY SALARIES, RECREATION AND PARK-DISTRICT PARKS AND SQUARES FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
42-03-03	Recreation and Park-District Parks & Squares		
02-0200 (372250)	Temporary Salaries	$3,462 ~~$5,987~~	
03-0100	Overtime		$2,783 ~~$4,825~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		679 ~~1,162~~
	Total	$3,462 ~~$5,987~~	$3,462 ~~$5,987~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas M. Demery
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 01 1984
Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-122
File No.

MAR 22 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, MAHER, MOLINARI, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-123 ORDINANCE NO. 113-84

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING) RO #4264 SA #168

APPROPRIATING $274,000 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (PARKING METER PROGRAM), PARKING AUTHORITY FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking Fund		
G.L.490(003327)	Unappropriated Revenue	$ 274,000	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
20-2010(353144)	Program Project Budget		
Proj.501-01	Parking Meters & Parking Meters Service		$ 274,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas M. Morrow
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 17 1984

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-123 File No.

MAR 22 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari,~~ Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 46-84-1 ORDINANCE NO. 114-84

RO #4249

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST WILLIAM McINTOSH HALL BY RECEIPT OF $15,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO v. WILLIAM McINTOSH HALL, et al.," Superior Court No. 783-657, in consideration of payment to the City and County of San Francisco of Fifteen-Thousand Dollars ($15,000.00) and Credit Rights to offset future disability claims made subsequent to April 1, 1984 by Ms. McIntyre.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: GREGORY F. WILSON
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD

By: WALTER JOHNSON
Executive Assistant

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

John L. Taylor Clerk

46-84-1 File No.

MAR 22 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, ~~Ward.~~

Noes: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisors MAHER SILVER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 46-84-2
(Resolution)

ORDINANCE NO. 11584

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST KEITH RAY HAAS BY ACCEPTING THE SUM OF $2,000.00 AND A COVENANT FOREBEARING ALL RIGHTS TO ASSERT FURTHER LITIGATION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: The Tax Collector Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle the controversy in San Francisco Municipal Court Action Number 838100 by accepting the sum of $2,000.00 and a covenant not to further litigate a rejected creditor claim for property damage to a privately owned BMW automobile arising out of a collision occurring on August 12, 1979.

Section 2: Immediately upon receipt of the sum of $2,000.00 and a properly executed waiver of all rights to assert any claim for property damages arising out of the August 12, 1979 collision between a San Francisco Police Vehicle and a BMW automobile, the Tax Collector Attorney is further directed to dismiss the herein numbered Municipal Court action against KEITH RAY HAAS.

RECOMMENDED BY:

Thad Brown

THAD BROWN
Tax Collector

APPROVED BY:

Mary I. Callanan

MARY I. CALLANAN
Treasurer

Approved as to form:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By:

David L. Krosnick

Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

John L. Taylor Clerk

46-84-2 MAR 22 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. 110 84

[Lease of Property]

APPROVING THE SECOND AMENDMENT BETWEEN PIER THIRTY-THREE INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the second amendment to the lease between Pier Thirty-three Inc., a California corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission. A copy of said amendment is contained in the Board of Supervisors' file number 65-84-4.

Approved as to form:
George Agnost, City Attorney

By Connie M. Rutherford
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 12 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp, Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP MAHER

John L. Taylor Clerk

65-84-4 MAR 22 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari,~~ Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 106-84-1

ORDINANCE NO. 117-84

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
SALARY STANDARDIZATION ORDINANCE
FISCAL YEAR - 1984-85

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
3/19/84

FILE NO. 34-84-2 ORDINANCE NO. 118-84

(CHANGE SIDEWALK WIDTH)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1447 CHANGING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALK ON A PORTION OF FIRST STREET BETWEEN MISSION AND NATOMA STREETS.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new Section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1447. The width of sidewalk on the northeasterly side of a portion of First Street between Mission and Natoma Streets shall be 4 feet where shown on the Department of Public Works plan Q-20-329 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Prior to construction of the new sidewalks, as narrowed, proper arrangement shall be made for the relocation of drainage facilities and facilities of the Hetch Hetchy Bureau of Light, Heat and Power as well as other utilities involved. The relocation work and the construction of the new sidewalk shall be done at no cost to the City and in accordance with appropriate City standards.

The Department of City Planning in its report dated January 23, 1984, stated that the proposed change of the sidewalk width as described above is in conformity in the Master Plan.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 18 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MAHER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

34-84-2 MAR 28 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-1 ORDINANCE NO. 119-84

RO #4250

[Lawsuit]
AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JOAN LOUISE GRILLO, et al., AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., IN THE AMOUNT OF $10,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle Superior Court Action No. 774-371, entitled " JOAN LOUISE GRILLO, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation, et al., Defendants," by payment of the sum of $10,000.00.

RECOMMENDED:

KENNETH J. HARRINGTON
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CORNELIUS MURPHY
Chief of Police

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

By _____
Secretary

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C Farrell
Controller

FEB 09 1984

8576C/jr

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 18 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-1 File No.

MAR 28 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 115-84-2.2 ORDINANCE NO. 120-84

AMENDING SECTIONS 242.2 THROUGH 242.10, INCLUSIVE, OF PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE), TO EXTEND SPECIAL USE CONTROLS FOR AN ADDITIONAL ~~ONE YEAR~~ NINE MONTHS PERIOD.

Note: Additions are indicated by underlining; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.2 to read as follows:

Section 242.2 Sacramento Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Sacramento Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 3SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-1.

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) JANUARY 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.2 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Sacramento Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 18 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5B. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal

uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5B. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, ((fast food outlets,)) financial institutions, places of entertainment, restaurants, and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5B as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include fast food establishments, cabaret permits, dance halls, hotels and an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5B
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
SACRAMENTO STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 58

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Financial office	0-1	1-2	3 or more establishments	
Bar	0-1	1-2	3 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-10	11-15	16 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	0-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the four uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for a first bar in the Sacramento Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a second bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a third bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.

Fast-food establishments				X
Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret				X
Dance Hall				X
Hotel				X

Section 2. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.3 to read as follows:

Section 242.3 Fillmore Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be an Upper Fillmore Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 2SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-2.

3/19/84
al

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.3 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Fillmore Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of

food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5C. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5C. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story commercial retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses shall not be permitted, provided, however, commercial

office uses shall be permitted when said third story (and above) is newly constructed and does not displace residential units.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial institutions, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5C as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5C

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
UPPER FILLMORE STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
Office	X*	X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office		X**	X	
Residential	X			

* Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

** Offices on the third story shall be permitted as a special use when such third story space is newly constructed and does not displace residential units.

Table 5C

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-15	16-23	24 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-2	3-4	5 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for a third bar in the Upper Fillmore Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a fourth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a sixth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 3. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.4 to read as follows:

Section 242.4 Haight Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Haight Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 5SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-3.

/19/84
al

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.4 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Haight Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food

and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5D. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5D. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial institutions, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5D as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5D
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
HAIGHT STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 50

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-15	16-23	24 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-2	3-4	5 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for an fourth bar in the Haight Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a fifth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a seventh bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 4. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.5 to read as follows:

Section 242.5 Castro Street - Eureka Valley Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Castro Street - Eureka Valley Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 7SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-4.

(a) This special use district will be applicable until April 19, ((1984)) 1985, after which period this Section 242.5 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Castro and 18th Streets in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to

the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5E. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5E. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth and second story retail uses shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall

not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5E as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5E

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS

CASTRO STREET - EUREKA VALLEY CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail		X	X	
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second floor shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 5E

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial Institution	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Bar	1-9	10-14	15 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-9	10-14	15 or more establishments	
Place of entertainment	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Cabaret	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the seven uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Castro Street-Eureka Valley Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a tenth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a fifteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 5. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.6 to read as follows:

Section 242.6 Upper Market Street West Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be an Upper Market Street West Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 7SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-7.

9/84

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.6 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Upper Market Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to

the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5F. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5F. Second story commercial office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses. Second story commercial retail uses shall not be permitted. Third

story and above commercial uses shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5F as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5F
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
UPPER MARKET STREET WEST CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 5F

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial Institution	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Bar	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-18	19-27	28 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for a fifth bar in the Upper Market Street West Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for an eighth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a ninth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 6. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.7 to read as follows:

Section 242.7 Upper Market Street East Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be an Upper Market Street East Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 8SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-8.

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.7 will be of no further force or effect.

3/29/84 ei

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Upper Market Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and

beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5G. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office use shall be permitted as a principal use only within the standards set forth in Table 5G. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story commercial retail use shall not be permitted. Third story and above office space shall be permitted only as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by City

Planning Commission for such uses. Third story and above commercial (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial institutions, places of entertainment, restaurants, and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5G as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5G

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
UPPER MARKET STREET EAST CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office			X	
Residential	X			

* Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 5G

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial Institution	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-10	11-15	16 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for a fourth bar in the Upper Market Street East Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a sixth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a seventh bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 7. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.8 to read as follows:

Section 242.8 24th Street - Noe Valley Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a 24th Street - Noe Valley Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 7SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-7.

19/84
al

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.8 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along 24th Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to

the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5H. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5H. Second story office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5H as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5H
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
24th STREET - NOE VALLEY CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 5H

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial Institution	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-10	11-15	16 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for a fourth bar in the 24th Street-Noe Valley Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a sixth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a seventh bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 8. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 242.9 to read as follows:

Section 242.9 24th Street - Mission Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a 24th Street - Mission Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 10SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-8.

3/19/84
al

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.9 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along 24th Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to

the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5I. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5I. Second story office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5I as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5I

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS

24th STREET - MISSION CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X		X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 5I

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial Institution	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-16	17-24	25 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown. For example, an application for a fourth bar in the 24th Street-Mission Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a sixth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met; an application for a seventh bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission. Expansion or enlargement of the uses listed above shall be subject to the same procedures as new special uses.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 9. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 243.10 to read as follows:

Section 242.10 Valencia Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Valencia Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 11SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 325-80-9.

3/19/84 al

(a) This special use district will be applicable until ((April 19, 1984)) January 19, 1985, after which period this Section 242.10 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to carry out the policies for orderly growth in the neighborhood commercial districts in the Commerce and Industry element of the San Francisco Comprehensive Plan and to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Valencia Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the historical residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the neighborhood character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic congestion and parking demand and other conditions disruptive of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5J. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5J. Second story office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be

permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5J as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5J
NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
VALENCIA STREET EAST CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met	Special use which may be approved by Commission	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 5,000 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 50 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 5,000 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 50 feet.		X	X	
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X	X	
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office		X	X	
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
March 19, 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors Britt Kopp Nelder

Absent: Supervisor Ward

John L. Taylor Clerk

115-84-2.2 MAR 2 8 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 2 6 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors BRITT NELDER

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 157-84
(Hospital Services)

ORDINANCE NO. 121-84

AMENDING CHAPTER 15 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 15.2-1 THERETO RELATING TO HOSPITAL AND OTHER HEALTH SERVICES IN AN EMERGENCY

Note: This section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 15 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 15.2-1 thereto reading as follows:

SEC. 15.2-1 Hospital and Other Health Services in an Emergency.

In the event of an emergency, as defined in this section and subject to the procedures outlined herein, the Director of Health is empowered to contract with hospitals and other health providers, including those in other counties, both public and private, for the provision of hospital, surgical, medical, mental health and hospital ancillary services. For the purposes of this section an emergency is defined as the lack of hospital beds in sufficient number and/or the lack of hospital or medical services in adequate quantities available to the Department of Public Health in city facilities or in facilities under contract with the City and County so as to leave patients of the City and County without required hospital and medical services. If the emergency permits, the Director of Health shall first secure the approval in writing of the Chief Administrative Officer. If the emergency does not permit such approval to be obtained before services are received, such approval as hereinabove mentioned shall be obtained as soon thereafter as it is possible to do so. The Director of Health shall notify the Controller immediately of the services being received and the estimated cost thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be operative as of January 1, 1984.

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

8289C

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 18 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 19 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher, Molinari,~~ Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MAHER MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

157-84 File No.

MAR 29 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

[signature] Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-124

ORDINANCE NO. 122-84

AO #4275 SA #140

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $2,606,269 FOR REVENUE TRANSFERS, RESERVE FOR EQUIPMENT PURCHASES AND PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, RESCINDING $392,835 FROM REVENUE TRANSFERS AND ADJUSTING ESTIMATED REVENUES, CONVENTION FACILITIES MANAGEMENT FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$ 870,599	
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
99-9990 (172513)	Revenue Transferred in from 02-001-Hotel Tax Fund; 98-00-00 Special Fund Unallocated	392,835	
49-4900 ( )	Revenue Transferred to 02-301 - Yerba Buena Center Fund #70-04-00 Yerba Buena Center - George P. Moscone Convention Center		$ 350,000
4900 ( )	Revenue Transferred to 02-302 - Convention Facilities Fund; 93-04-00 Convention and Visitor's Administration		913,434
	Total General Fund	$1,263,434	$1,263,434
02-001	Hotel Tax Fund		
98-00-00	Special Fund Unallocated		
49-4900 (872815)	Revenue Transferred to 01-001 General Fund; #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$ 392,835
49-4900 (851287)	Revenue Transferred to 02-302 - Convention Facilities Fund; #93-04-00 Convention and Visitors' Administration		(392,835)
	Total Hotel Tax Fund		- 0 -

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-301	Yerba Buena Center Fund		
70-04-00	Yerba Buena Center - George P. Moscone Convention Center		
99-9990 ( )	Revenue Transferred In in 01-001 - General Fund; 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated	$ 350,000	
10-1001 (421131)	Professional and Special Services		$ 350,000
	Total Yerba Buena Center Fund	$ 350,000	$ 350,000
02-302	Convention Facilities Fund		
G.L. 491 ( )	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary ( )	Reserve for Equipment Purchase		$ 600,000
93-01-00	Convention Facilities Management		
70-8201 (052928)	Rental of Recreational Facilities	$ (197,599)	
8203 "	Conventions in Recreational Facilities	78,000	
8207 "	Recreational Facilities Support System	199,000	
99-9990 (172403)	Revenue Transferred In from #02-001 - Hotel Tax Fund #98-00-00 Special Fund Unallocated	(392,835)	
99-9990 ( )	Revenue Transferred In from #01-001 - General Fund 99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated	913,434	
	Total Convention Facilities Fund	$ 600,000	$ 600,000

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-124 4/6/84
File No. Approved

John L. Molinari
Acting Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-126

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

ORDINANCE NO. 123-84

RO #4265 SA #190

APPROPRIATING $1,000,000 FOR JUDGMENT AND CLAIMS, CONTROLLER'S GENERAL CITY RESPONSIBILITIES FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L.491(000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary(000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$1,000,000	
09-20-00	Controller-General City Responsibilities		
14-1450(300130)	Judgment and Claims		$1,000,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas A. Demetras
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 16 1984

RECOMMENDED:

Henry Kaiser

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-126 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-127

ORDINANCE NO. 12484

RO #4266 SA #171

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING ~~$519,417~~ $517,327 FOR HOLIDAY PAY AND RESCINDING $389,789 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - UNIFORM AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained	$ 94,976	
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	~~$ 95,756~~	
31-03-00	Fire Department		
01-0020 (530055)	Permanent Salaries - Uniform	190,141	
04-0602	Retirement - City Uniform	199,648	
03-0121	Holiday Pay - Uniform		$484,765 ~~$485,545~~
	Total General Fund	$484,765 ~~$485,545~~	$484,765 ~~$485,545~~
30-001	Airport Operating Fund		
G.L. 495 (022012)	Use of Surplus	$ 28,705 ~~$ 29,777~~	
31-03-00	Fire Department - Airport Fire-fighting		
03-0121 (530113)	Holiday Pay - Uniform		$ 28,705 ~~$ 29,777~~
34-001	S.F. Harbor Operating Fund		
G.L. 495 (026013)	Use of Surplus	$ 3,857 ~~$ 4,095~~	
31-03-00	Fire Department - Port Fireboat		
03-0121 (530212)	Holiday Pay - Uniform		$ 3,857 ~~$ 4,095~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Tremayne
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 21 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO KOPP

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-127 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

2

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-128

ORDINANCE NO. 125-84

RO #4261 SA #159

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $20,440 FOR FEES AND OTHER COMPENSATION, COUNTY EDUCATION OFFICE FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Retained	$20,440	
07-00-00	County Education Office		
02-0400 (307017)	Fees and Other Compensation		$20,440

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
BY: James G. Dorney
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 16 1984
Mayor

LEGISLATIVE DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
2

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-128
File No.

4/6/84
Approved

John L. Molinari
Acting Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/21/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-129

ORDINANCE NO. 126-84

RO# 4243
SA# 114

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $400,000 FOR DATA PROCESSING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT AND RESCINDING $400,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION - PMIS FOR F/Y 1983 - 84, PLACING $100,000 IN RESERVE.

21/844

BE it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
09-051	PUC - Interdepartmental Recovery Fund		
40-02-00	Public Utilities Commissions PMIS		
01-0010 (561183)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous $400,000		
10-1002	Data Processing Professional Services Contract		$400,000

/21/84
ls

Section 2. Funds in the amount of $100,000 are hereby held in reserve until released by the Finance Committee, subject to EIPSC review of continuation of the STC contract.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Downey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 16 1984

RECOMMENDED:

Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-129 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/21/84.

FILE NO 101-83-130

ORDINANCE NO. 127-84

RO #4262 SA #108

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

3/21/84 $27,530
BLS APPROPRIATING ~~$57,593~~ FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY
$27,530
AND RESCINDING ~~$24,000~~ FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS
FRINGE BENEFITS/FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR CREATION OF FIVE (5) POSITIONS,

ADULT PROBATION FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained	- 0 -	
3/21/84 BLS Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	~~$24,000~~	
13-00-00	Adult Probation		
01-0010 (320531)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$27,530 ~~33,593~~	$24,941 ~~$52,754~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		2,589 ~~4,839~~
	Total	$27,530 ~~$57,593~~	$27,530 ~~$57,593~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for five (5) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-33) as follows:

Fund	General Fund	
Department	Adult Probation	
Classification	Rate of Pay	Create
1424 Clerk Typist	544 B 655	1
8446 Courts Alternative Specialist I	796 B 961	3
8448 Courts Alternative Specialist II	961 B 1163	1
	Total	5

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
BY: Thomas G. Downey
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
BY:
General Manager, Personnel

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 16 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-130 File No. 4/6/84 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

No: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

John L. Molinari Acting Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-132 (GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

ORDINANCE NO. 128-84

RO #4268 SA #139

APPROPRIATING $61,468 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RESCINDING $61,468 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, PUBLIC HEALTH - FORENSIC SERVICES FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
83-02-00	Public Health - Forensic Services		
04-0600(441055)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	$ 61,468	
01-0010	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		$ 61,468

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas G. Demeny
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 24 1984

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-132
File No.

4/6/84
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 1 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-134

ORDINANCE NO. 129-84

RO #4272 SA #152

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $30,000 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (MAYOR'S SPECIAL FUND) AND RESCINDING $30,000 FROM PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (RENT SUPPLEMENT PROGRAM), MAYOR'S OFFICE FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
25-02-00	Mayor's Relocation Appeals Board		
20-2010 (323501)	Program Project Budget		
Proj-055-01	Rent Supplement Program	$30,000	
25-01-00	Mayor's Office		
20-2010 (325647)	Program Project Budget		
Proj. 024-01	Mayor's Special Fund		$30,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Demery
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 24 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-134 4/6/84 John L. Molinari Acting Mayor

File No. Approved

FILE NO. 101-83-136 ORDINANCE NO. 130-84

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

RO #4273 SA #165

APPROPRIATING $93,200 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING $93,200 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR CREATION OF NINE (9) POSITIONS, PUBLIC HEALTH - FORENSIC SERVICE FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
83-02-00	Public Health - Forensic Services		
04-0600(441055)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	$93,200	$16,633
01-0010	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		69,017
01-0010(731398)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		6,084
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		1,466
	Total	$93,200	$93,200

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for nine (9) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-37) as follows:

Fund / Department / Classification	General Fund / Public Health - Forensic Services / Rate of Pay	Create
2320 Registered Nurse	1068 B 1212	6
2920 Medical Social Worker	966 B 1169	3
	Total	9

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas G. Doremus
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

By: [signature]
General Manager, Personnel

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: FEB 29 1984

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-136 File No. 4/6/84 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

[signature] Acting Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-144

ORDINANCE NO. 131-84

RO #4293 SA #219

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $600,000 FOR OVERTIME AND RESCINDING $600,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - CRAFT, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund		
35-02-00	Municipal Railway - Equipment Maintenance		
01-0030 (560722)	Permanent Salaries - Craft	$600,000	
03-0103 (560722)	Overtime - Craft		$600,000

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does thereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Dorney
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 12 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

01-83144 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Acting Mayor

FILE NO 102-83-33

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

ORDINANCE NO. 132-84

SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 38

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF FIVE POSITIONS IN THE ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	13 Adult Probation	
		DP/DV/SC:	130100 General	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.	
1 added	7	1424 A	Clerk Typist.	$544-655
3 added	3	8446 A	Courts Alternative Specialist I . . .	796-961
1 added	1	8448 A	Courts Alternative Specialist II. . .	961-1163

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas G. Doerrer
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 38

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-33 File No. 4-6-84 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 - 84

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 102-83-37

ORDINANCE NO. 133 84

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

SAL.ORD./AMEND.NO. 39

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF NINE POSITIONS IN THE DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH, FORENSIC SERVICES DIVISION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	83 Public Health-Central Office	
		DP/DV/SC:	830200 Forensic Services	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.	
6 added	32	2320 B	Registered Nurse.	$1068-1212
3 added	11	2920 A	Medical Social Worker . . . . . Z	966-1169

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas A. Donnelly
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 39

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-37 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Molinari
Acting Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-12 ORDINANCE NO. 13484

RO #4267

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MICHAEL P. DONOHUE AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled MICHAEL P. DONOHUE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., Municipal Court No. 817-547, by payment of $9,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: STEVEN A. DIAZ
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

FEB 24 1984

RECOMMENDED:

By: Ernest L. Prindle for
TOM MALLOY
General Manager

APPROVED:

RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION

By: Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-12 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

John L. Molinari Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-13

ORDINANCE NO. 135-84

RO #4282

[illegible]

[illegible] SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ROBERT DRUE, DBA [illegible] FLOW V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, MUNICIPAL [illegible] $,000.00.

[illegible] People of the City and County of San [illegible]

[illegible] Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and [illegible] to settle the Municipal Court Action No. 855-595 [illegible] "ROBERT DRUE, DBA, ASSOCIATED FLOW V. CITY AND COUNTY OF [illegible]" by the payment of $4,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM [illegible]:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

[illegible] City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C Farrell
Controller

9065/kv MAR 05 1984

RECOMMENDED:

JEFFREY LEE, DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

APPROVED:

By Robert Gamble for
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
2

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-13 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-15 ORDINANCE NO. 136-84

RO #4284

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ROCHELLE KEMP AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $15,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "ROCHELLE KEMP v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et al.," Superior Court No. 793-815, by the payment of $15,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

By: STEVEN A. DIAZ
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: JEFFREY LEE, Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 06 1984

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 23 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto,~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

FILE NO 83-84-2 ORDINANCE NO. 137-84

(PUBLIC HEALTH)

AMENDING ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 16.6-10 THEREOF, ADDING CERTAIN ORGANIZATIONS TO THE MEMBERSHIP LIST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined;
deletions are indicated by ((double parenthesis)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco;

Section 1. Section 16.6-10. of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 16.6-10. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

American College of Hospital Administrators
American College of Physicians
American Hospital Association
American Medical Association
American Psychiatric Association
American Public Health Association
American Trauma Society
Association of California Hospitals
Association of Western Hospitals
Bay Area County Alcohol Programs
California Association of County Drug Administrators
California Association of Homes for the Aging
California Association of Nutrition Directors for the Elderly
California Association of Public Hospitals
California Association of Rehabilitation Facilities

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

California Conference of Local Health Directors
California County Collectors Association
California State Coalition of Rape Crisis Centers
Coalition of Agencies Serving the Elderly
Council of Urban Health Providers
County Alcohol Program Administrators Association of California
National Association of Public Hospitals
National Association for City Drug Coordination
National Council of Community Mental Health Centers
North American Association of Alcoholism Programs
San Francisco County Medical Society
San Francisco Hospital Association
U.S. Conference of City Health Officers
Western Gerontological Society

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE P. AGNOST
City Attorney

By [signature]

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

[signature]
Director of Health

APPROVED:

[signature] for
ROGER BOAS
Chief Administrative Officer

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

83842 4/6/84 Dianne Feinstein Mayor

File No. Approved

FILE NO. 158-84-1 ORDINANCE NO. 138-84

RO #4258

[Revolving Fund]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 10.156 AND 10.166 THEREOF, INCREASING THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION REVOLVING FUND FROM $5,700 to $6,400 AND THE WATER DEPARTMENT REVOLVING FUND FROM $78,000 TO $88,000.

NOTE: Additions are indicated by underlining; substitutions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Sections 10.156 and 10.166 thereof, reading as follows:

Section 10.156. SAME--PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION; ((($5,700)) $6,400.

Section 10.166. SAME--WATER DEPARTMENT; ((($78,000)) $88,000.

(See File 158-84-1 for departmental approvals.)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: David L. Krosgmith
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 26 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

158841 4/6/84
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

2

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 9 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN BD. 3/26/84

FILE NO. 422-83 ORDINANCE NO. 139-84

(Minority, Women and Local Business Enterprises)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER 12D THERETO, RELATING TO THE PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES AND THE AWARD OF PUBLIC CONTRACTS, LEASES, FRANCHISES AND CONCESSIONS BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FROM MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISES, WOMEN BUSINESS ENTERPRISES, AND LOCAL BUSINESSES; ESTABLISHING REQUIREMENTS TO ENSURE FULL AND EQUITABLE OPPORTUNITIES FOR SUCH BUSINESSES TO PROVIDE GOODS AND SERVICES TO THE CITY AND COUNTY; AND PROVIDING PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES TO ENFORCE COMPLIANCE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Chapter 12D thereto, to read as follows:

CHAPTER 12D. MINORITY/WOMEN/LOCAL BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.

Sec. 12D.1. Short Title.

This ordinance shall be entitled the "Minority/Women/Local Business Utilization Ordinance" and may be cited as the "MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance."

Sec. 12D.2. Findings.

The Human Rights Commission, having conducted public hearings pursuant to Resolution 952-82, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 13, 1982, to determine the extent of

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3319

minority and women business participation in City and County contracts;

And the Human Rights Commission, having, in February, March and April of 1983, heard the testimony of forty-two witnesses and reviewed the transcript and written submittals of sixteen minority, women and small business representatives;

And this Board, having conducted additional hearings, taken additional testimony and written submittals, and reviewed the report of the Human Rights Commission (all of which shall be incorporated herein by reference), hereby makes the following findings:

1. That historic discrimination against minorities and women, often officially sanctioned and enforced by government from the inception of our Republic to the present has had a serious, negative impact on their ability to participate fully and equitably in our society; and

2. That because of centuries of limited access to the marketplace - as workers and as entrepreneurs - and because of the failure of local governmental agencies to take affirmative steps to remedy overt and subtle discrimination, women and minorities have suffered severe economic harm; and

3. That, in a city which has a minority population of nearly 50%, a female population of nearly 60%, and a civilian workforce which is 45% female, the public interest will best be served by our enactment of an Ordinance mandating policies and programs which will enhance the opportunities for women-owned and

minority-owned businesses to become prime contractors in the provision of goods and services to the City and County of San Francisco; and the Board further finds

4. That local businesses which seek to enter into contracts with the City and County of San Francisco are at a competitive disadvantage with businesses from other areas because of the higher administrative costs of doing business in the City (e.g. higher taxes, higher rents, higher wages and benefits for labor, higher insurance rates, etc.); and

5. That the public interest would best be served by encouraging business to locate and remain in San Francisco through the provision of a minimal "good faith" preference to local businesses in the awarding of City contracts; and

6. That policies and programs which enhance the viability of minority-owned, women-owned, and local businesses will best serve the public interest because the growth and development of such businesses will have a significant positive impact on the economic health of the City; and

7. That the findings of the Human Rights Commission, as detailed in their report, offer clear and persuasive reasons for the Board of Supervisors to take the actions proposed by this Ordinance to correct the practices which have resulted in the virtual exclusion of minority-owned and women-owned businesses from prime contracts with the City, and in the competitive disadvantages faced by local business in providing goods and services to the City. These findings include:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 208 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

(a) That the departments and awarding authorities of the City and County of San Francisco are functioning without specific uniform standards and criteria in the award of contracts and leases of the City and County;

(b) That the award of non-construction contracts is mainly at the discretion of the awarding authority staff, resulting in the award process being available to a very limited portion of the business community;

(c) That minority-owned and women-owned businesses and other small local businesses are particularly disadvantaged by the lack of uniform standards and criteria in the processes by which city contracts are awarded;

(d) That the in-depth review conducted by the Human Rights Commission of prime contracts, leases, and other agreements awarded by City and County departments reveals that Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women Business Enterprises (WBEs) combined received less than three percent (2.8%) of all prime contracts (exclusive of subcontracts) awarded during a base period of 1981 and 1982, which represented approximately $25 million of a total amount in excess of $869 million awarded for the years cited;

(e) That this virtual exclusion of minority-owned and women-owned businesses from City contracts has occurred notwithstanding the fact that MBEs represent in excess of thirty-three percent (33%), and WBEs twenty-five percent

(25%) , of all San Francisco based firms;

(f) That the results of the survey by the San Francisco Human Rights Commission (HRC) provide an objective standard for holding that there is de facto exclusion of minority-owned and women-owned business from the City and County prime contract award process;

(g) That this exclusion causes irreparable economic and social harm to these protected classes and adversely affects the economic health of the City and County of San Francisco;

(h) That the exclusion of minority-owned and women-owned businesses from contracting opportunities with the City will continue unless affirmative action is mandated for all City awarding authorities by the Mayor and by the Board of Supervisors.

(i) That the lack of an affirmative City policy to remedy this situation tends to give preference to majority businesses in the prime award process, to the virtual exclusion of participation by minority-owned and women-owned businesses, and thus perpetuates the effects of past discrimination;

(j) The competitive bid process, as applied to construction and suppliers, permit exceptions within the process which tend to eliminate MBEs and WBEs from an equal opportunity to compete for an equitable share of prime awards; and that this process is detrimental to the

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3318

development, growth and operation of MBEs and WBs within the City and County;

(k) The Board of Supervisors further finds that the lack of notice of bids and proposals by the limited advertisement and outreach of such business opportunities to the MBE/WBE community and to other small local businesses further compounds the problem, and contributes to the virtual exclusion of MBEs and WBEs from prime contracting with the City and County;

(l) That other conditions in the general and special bids and proposals procedures are often unrelated to actual performance (such as excessive bonding and insurance and other fee-related requirements) and have the effect of eliminating MBEs and WBEs and small local businesses from a fair chance to bid and compete for prime awards;

(m) That the aforesaid practices have operated in the past, continue to exist and create an invidious form of discrimination against minorities and women who seek to operate businesses within the City and County of San Francisco; and

(n) That immediate institution of remedial and corrective action by the Board of Supervisors is necessary in order to help reduce the serious inequities and intergroup tensions that inevitably flow from the lack of a clear policy

in this area and that can erode trust in government and detrimentally affect the peace and harmony that must exist among the richly diverse population of the City and County of San Francisco; and

8. The Board further finds it is necessary, in complying with the intent of this Ordinance, that within one hundred and twenty (120) days of its enactment, appropriate rules, regulations and procedures be developed, adopted, and publicly promulgated by the Human Rights Commission; and that the public and affected agencies have the opportunity to provide input to and comment on the regulations prior to their formal adoption.

Sec. 12D.3. Declaration Of Policy.

It is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to ensure the full and equitable participation by Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises, and by local businesses, as prime contractors in the provision of goods and services to the City and County on a contractual basis. The thrust of this program is to ensure the award of prime contracts to MBE/WBEs and local businesses and to develop their status and capability as prime contractors of the City and County of San Francisco. The ultimate goal of this Ordinance is to eliminate the effects of historic discrimination which is manifested in the present low levels of MBE and WBE participation in City contracting, and to offset some of the economic disadvantages faced by local businesses.

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

The City will rely on the relationship between the percentages of minorities and women in the community and their respective shares of city contracts as a measure of the effectiveness of this Ordinance in remedying the effects of afore mentioned discrimination The immediate aim is to achieve annual City and County-wide goals of not less than thirty percent (30%) for Minority Business Enterprises and not less than ten percent (10%) for Women Business Enterprises.

The City and County of San Francisco is utilizing a preference for local business in the award of City and County contracts in order to encourage business to locate and remain in San Francisco and thereby increase the number of employed persons living in San Francisco. The additional cost to businesses located in San Francisco has been estimated as high as 15%; a preference of 5% for local businesses bidding on City contracts constitutes "good faith" on the part of the City in support of businesses which contribute to the economic health of the City. The percentage is a reasonable expression of that good faith, does not unduly hamper non-local businesses in the contracting process, and parallels the preferences awarded in many other local jurisdictions.

Sec. 12D.4. Scope.

The provisions of this Ordinance shall apply to all contracts awarded by the City and County and services utilized by the City and County except as may be hereinafter specifically exempted, and shall be liberally construed to accomplish its

policies and purposes.

Sec. 12D.5. Definitions.

"Bidder" shall mean any business that submits a bid or proposal to provide goods or services to the City and County.

"Concession" shall include any grant of land or other property by or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to a person for the purpose or use specified in said grant.

"Contract" shall mean and include any agreement to provide labor, materials, supplies or services in the performance of a contract, franchise, concession or lease granted, let or awarded for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Contractor" shall mean any person or persons, firm, partnership, corporation, or combination thereof, who submits a bid and/or enters into a contract with department heads and officers empowered by law to enter into contracts on the part of the City and County for public works or improvements to be performed, or for a franchise, concession or lease of property, or for goods and services or supplies to be purchased at the expense of the City and County or to be paid out of monies deposited in the treasury or out of trust monies under the control or collected by the City and County.

"Contract Awarding Authority" shall mean the City and County officer, department, commission, employee or board authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the City and County.

"Controlled" for the purposes of determining whether a

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

business is a Minority Business Enterprise, or Women Business Enterprise, shall mean the minority(ies), the woman or combination of minorities and women, as the context requires, shall (1) possess legal authority and power to manage business assets, good will and daily operations of the business; and (2) actively and continuously exercise such authority and power in determining the policies and directing the operations of the business.

"Director" shall mean the Director of the Human Rights Commission of San Francsico.

"Franchise" shall mean and include a right or privilege conferred by grant from the City and County of San Francisco, or any contracting agency thereof, and vested in and authorizing a person to conduct such business or engage in such activity as is specified in said grant.

"Franchisee" shall mean and include a person who is the grantee or beneficiary of a franchise as herein defined.

"Human Rights Commission" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of San Francisco, consisting of Commissioners appointed by the Mayor; hereinafter, it shall be referred to as the Commission.

"Lease" shall mean and include a contract by which the City and County of San Francisco or any contracting agency thereof, grants to a person the temporary possession and use of property for reward, and the latter agrees to return the same to the former at a future time.

"Lessee" shall mean and include a person or tenant taking possession of property under a lease as herein provided, and further includes a bailee under a bailment agreement providing a rental for personal property.

"Local Business" shall mean a business firm with fixed offices or distribution points located within the boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco and listed in the Permits and License Tax Paid File with a San Francisco business street address. Post Office box numbers or residential addresses may not be used solely to establish status as a "Local Business".

"Minority", "Minorities", or "Minority person" shall mean ethnic persons of color including American Indians, Asians (including, but not limited to, Chinese, Japanese, Koreans, Pacific Islanders, Samoans, and Southeast Asians), Blacks, Filipinos and Hispanics.

"Minority Business Enterprise (MBE)" shall mean an independent and continuing business for profit, which performs a commercially useful function, and which is owned and controlled by one or more minority persons residing in the United States or its territories.

"Owned", for purposes of determining whether a business is a minority business enterprise or women business enterprise, shall mean that the minorities or women as the context requires, shall possess an ownership interest of over fifty percent (50%) of the business, and shall:

1. Possess incidents of ownership, such as an interest in profit and loss, equal to at least

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

the required ownership interest percentage; and
2. Contribute capital, equipment and expertise to the business equal to at least the required ownership percentage.

Ownership shall be measured as though not subject to the community property interest of a spouse, if both spouses certify that (a) only one spouse participates in the management of the business, and (b) the non-participating spouse relinquishes control over his/her community property interest in the subject business.

"Person" includes one or more individuals, partnerships, associations, organizations, trade or professional associations, corporations, cooperatives, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, receivers, or any group of persons, including any official, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Subcontractor" shall mean any business providing goods or services to a contractor for profit, if such goods or services are procured or used in fulfillment of the contractor's obligations arising from a contract with the City and County of San Francisco.

"Women Business Enterprise (WBE)" shall mean an independent and continuing business for profit which performs a commercially useful function and which is owned and controlled by one or more women residing in the United States or its territories.

Sec. 12D.6. Powers and Duties.

(A) In addition to the duties and powers given to the

Human Rights Commission elsewhere, the Commission is empowered to:

1. establish appropriate goals for minority and women business enterprise utilization by City agencies, Departments and other City awarding authorities;

2. limit the applicability of the Ordinance to MBEs and WBEs which require assistance in developing their status and capability as prime contractors;

3. review and periodically report to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the Chief Administrative Officer and the public the progress of departments and awarding authorities toward achievement of their goals for the utilization of minority and women business enterprises;

4. levy sanctions as specified in Section 12D.8(B)(10);

5. subpoena persons and records, books and documents for a proceeding of the Commission conducted to further the purposes of this Ordinance; and

6. adopt rules and regulations, consistent with this Ordinance and the Administrative Code of the City and County of San Francisco (Chapter 12D), establishing standards and procedures for effectively carrying out this Ordinance.

(B) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Director elsewhere, the Director shall:

1. recommend appropriate goals to the Commission;

2. through appropriately promulgated procedures, certify businesses as bona fide MBEs/WBEs/LBEs;

3. provide information and other assistance to MBEs and

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3319

WBEs to increase their ability to compete effectively for the award of City and County contracts;

4. assist the City and County and community agencies to increase participation by MBEs and WBEs in City and County contracts;

5. develop education and training programs for MBEs and WBEs and City and County contract awarding personnel;

6. review quarterly Management-By-Objectives data of departments to ascertain the degree of achievement of their goals.

(C) The requirements of this Ordinance are in addition to and separate from those imposed by the United States or the State of California as a condition of financial assistance or otherwise; however, the Director with the concurrence of the Commission may authorize the substitution of such State or Federal Minority Business Enterprise and Women Business Enterprise requirements for the requirements of this Ordinance whenever such State or Federal requirements are substantially the same as those of this Ordinance.

(D) The Director, with the approval of the Commission, may enter into cooperative agreements with agencies, public and private, concerned with increasing the utilization of MBEs and WBEs in government contracting, subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sec. 12D.7. Utilization Goals.

(A) The Commission shall establish City and County-wide

annual goals, consistent with Section 12D.3. These goals shall be expressed in terms of a percentage of the total dollar value of all prime contracts to be awarded by the City and County. With the concurrence of the Commission, the Director may establish goals separately for categories of contracts, such as public works construction, architects and engineers, leases, franchises and concessions, professional services and purchasing contracts. Goals shall be reasonably achievable, and shall be based upon, but are not limited to, the following factors:

1. the degree to which such annual goals will contribute to the achievement of the ultimate goal of eliminating the effects of historic discrimination and increasing the participation of MBEs and WBEs in City contracts as set forth in Section 12D.3 of this Ordinance (Declaration of Policy).

2. the level of participation of MBEs and WBEs in contracts awarded by other governmental agencies in the San Francisco Bay Area which have utilized MBE and WBE requirements;

3. the availability of MBEs and WBEs which are capable of providing goods and services to the City and County while actively encouraging the development of Minority and Women Business Enterprises;

(B) The Director, with the advice of each contract awarding authority, shall recommend to the Commission the establishment of separate annual goals for utilization of MBEs and WBEs by that awarding authority.

Sec. 12D.8. Utilization Requirements -- General.

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3318

(A) The City and County of San Francisco shall have the authority to:

1. take action, within the limitations of state and federal law, to assist MBEs and WBEs to meet bonding, insurance and other fee-related requirements; such action could include the creation of a special revolving fund;

2. establish a central office where all bids, requests for proposals and solicitations will be listed and kept current;

3. provide technical assistance to MBEs and WBEs to increase their ability to compete effectively for the award of City and County contracts.

(B) Contract awarding authorities shall:

1. solicit and obtain bids and proposals from MBEs and WBEs on all solicitations, or document their unavailability;

2. set aside ten percent (10%) of the total dollar value of all contracts to be awarded by each contract awarding agency for MBEs and set aside two percent (2%) for WBEs for the fiscal year with the provision that a joint venture can be credited to the extent of Minority or Women Business Enterprise participation in the joint venture;

3. extend a five percent (5%) preference for a local business (except where prohibited by State or Federal law or regulation) and a five percent (5%) preference for a minority or women bidder or proposer in the award of all bids and contracts and in the composition of rating scales; however, local minority or women bidders shall receive a ten percent (10%) preference;

4. arrange contracting by size and type of work to be performed so as most effectively to enhance the opportunity for participation by MBEs and WBEs to the maximum extent feasible;

5. except as prohibited by State or Federal law or regulation, adjust bid bonding requirements for and/or make loans, subject to the availability of appropriate funds, to MBEs and WBEs when advisable, appropriate and necessary to achieve the declared policy of this Ordinance;

6. utilize a revolving fund as may be established by the City to assist MBEs and WBEs to meet bonding, insurance and other fee-related requirements;

7. submit to a central office current bids, request for proposals, and solicitations with sufficient lead time to provide adequate notice and opportunity to MBEs and WBEs to participate;

8. limit competition for negotiated contracts to MBEs and WBEs or a combination of both, when a contract awarding authority is determined by the Commission not to have achieved its utilization goals, unless waiver has been granted by the Commission.

9. impose such sanctions or take such other actions as are designed to ensure compliance with the provisions of this Ordinance, which shall include, but are not limited to:

(a) refusal to certify the award of a contract;

(b) order the suspension of a contract;

(c) order the withholding of funds;

(d) order the revision of a contract based upon a

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

material breach of contract provisions pertaining to MBE and WBE utilization;

(e) disqualification of a bidder, contractor, subcontractor, or other business from eligibility for providing goods or services to the City and County for a period not to exceed two years;

10. not award any contract to a person or business which is disqualified from doing business with the City and County under the provisions of this Ordinance, nor shall any contract be awarded to any person or business which is disqualified from doing business with any governmental agency based on failure to comply with Minority or Women Business Enterprise or contract compliance requirements which are substantially the same as those of this Ordinance.

11. Each department of the City and County of San Francisco shall designate a staff person to be responsible for responding to the HRC and to the requirements of this Ordinance.

(C) All contracts awarded by the City and County shall include the following requirements, in addition to such other requirements as may be set forth elsewhere:

1. bid conditions, requests for proposals and all other specifications for contracts awarded by the City and County shall require, where subcontracting is utilized in performing the contract, that the bidder or proposer, prior to the submission of bids or proposals, demonstrate every good faith effort to subcontract or to purchase from MBEs and WBEs. Such

specifications shall require the bidder to keep records of such efforts adequate to permit a determination of compliance with the specifications;

2. contracts shall incorporate this Ordinance by reference and shall provide that the failure of any bidder, contractor or subcontractor to comply with any of its requirements shall be deemed a material breach of contract;

3. contracts shall require the contractor during the term of the contract to:

(a) fulfill the MBE and WBE participation commitments submitted with their bid or proposal;

(b) continue to make efforts to utilize MBEs and WBEs;

(c) require that their subcontractors make every effort to utilize MBEs and WBEs; and,

(d) maintain records reasonably necessary for monitoring their compliance with the provisions of this Ordinance.

4. Whenever contract supplements, amendments or change orders are made which cumulatively increase the total dollar value of the contract by more than ten percent (10%) of the dollar value of the original contract, the contractor shall comply with those provisions of this Ordinance which applied to the original contract with respect to the supplement, amendment or change order.

(D) All contracts or other agreements between the City and County of San Francisco and other governmental or quasi-governmental agencies, or public corporations, where such

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

agencies receive money from or through the City and County for the purpose of contracting with businesses to perform public improvements, shall require such agencies to comply with the provisions of this Ordinance in awarding and administering such contracts.

(E) For the purpose of determining Minority and Women Business Enterprise participation:

Contracts or subcontracts awarded to joint ventures in which one or more MBEs or WBEs are combined with one or more businesses which are not Minority or Women Business Enterprises shall be deemed to be awarded to Minority or Women Business Enterprises only to the extent of the Minority or Women Business Enterprises participation in the joint venture.

Sec. 12D.9. Utilization Requirements -- Public Works.

(A) For all public works contracts for construction and for architectural and engineering services, the contract awarding authority shall furnish the Director with an informational copy of all bid conditions and requests for proposals, along with a statement identifying all funds provided by any other governmental agency which will be used in payment of the contract. Prior to solicitation of bids or proposals, the Director may make recommendations to the contract awarding authority with respect to provisions pertaining to MBE and WBE utilization.

(B) Contracts for construction, the estimated cost of

which exceeds fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000), and contracts for architects and engineers, the estimated cost of which is thirty thousand dollars ($30,000) or greater, shall be awarded and administered in accordance with the following standards and procedures:

1. In addition to the requirements set forth in Section 12D.8(B) and elsewhere, bid conditions and requests for proposals shall require bidders and proposers on prime contracts to include in their bid or proposal both MBE and WBE participation as subcontractors in the contract in a percentage which equals or exceeds the awarding authority's annual goals for prime contracts. Except as provided in Section 12D.9(B)(2), bids or proposals not including both MBE and WBE participation in an amount which equals or exceeds that required by the bid conditions or request for proposals shall be declared non-responsive.

(a) bids and proposals shall identify the particular MBEs and WBEs to be utilized in performing the contract, specifying for each the dollar value of the participation, the type of work to be performed and such information as may reasonably be required to determine the responsiveness of the bid or proposal.

(b) during the term of the contract any failure to comply with the levels of MBE and WBE participation identified in the bid or proposal shall be deemed a material breach of contract.

2. A contract awarding authority or a department may

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

request the Director to waive the requirements of this subsection, or to reduce for the subject contract the amount of the goals, for either or both MBEs or WBEs, by submitting the reasons therefore in writing to the Director prior to solicitation of bids or proposals.

(a) The Director may grant such a waiver or reduction upon determination that:

(i) the reasonable and necessary requirements of the contract render subcontracting or other participation of business other than the bidder or proposer unfeasible; or

(ii) sufficient qualified Minority and Women Business Enterprises capable of providing the goods or services required by the contract are unavailable in the market area of the project, despite every feasible attempt to locate appropriate Minority and Women Business Enterprises.

(b) only the requirements of Section 12D.9(B)(1) are waived by a waiver under this paragraph.

(c) whenever the Director denies a request to waive or reduce a goal, the contract awarding authority may appeal that denial to the Human Rights Commission, whose decision on the request shall be final.

3. all contracts in excess of $15,000 for construction

and or in an amount of $30,000 or greater for architects and engineers shall contain the following clause:

> Contractor agrees that he/she shall actively solicit the employment of minority group members and women. Contractor further agrees that he/she shall actively solicit bids for the subcontracting of goods or services from qualified minority and women businesses. Contractor shall furnish evidence of compliance with these requirements of minority and women employment and solicitation. Contractor further agrees to consider the grant of subcontracts to said minority and women bidders on the basis of substantially equal proposals in the light most favorable to said minority and women businesses. The contractor shall be required to submit evidence of compliance with this section as part of the bid.

(C) All architect and engineer selection panels and awarding officers shall give appropriate consideration to the utilization goals of the contract awarding authority in evaluating, recommending and selecting contractors. The Director may assist and direct such panels and the department staff in evaluating the impact of their recommendation or selection on achievement of the contract awarding authority's utilization

ORGE AGNOST
TY ATTORNEY
M 206 CITY HALL
RANCISCO 94102
558 3315

goals.

Sec. 12D.10. Utilization Requirements -- Purchasing Contracts.

All contracts awarded by the City Purchaser for the purchase of materials, equipment or supplies covered by purchase orders and term purchase agreements shall be awarded and administered in accordance with the following standards and procedures:

(A) The City Purchaser shall solicit and obtain bids and quotations from MBEs and WBEs certified by the Commission to supply the required materials, equipment, supplies or services.

(B) For purchases upon quotations of five thousand dollars ($5,000) or less, the Purchasing Department shall grant preference to MBEs and WBEs pursuant to the City Purchaser's authority under Section 21.5 of the Administrative Code to accept other than the lowest quotations if the City Purchaser believes that the public interest would best be served.

(C) For expenditures for the purchase of materials, supplies, equipment or contractual services, estimated by the City Purchaser to exceed five thousand dollars ($5,000), the City Purchaser shall grant preference to MBEs or WBEs even when the bid is other than the lowest gross price or unit cost in accordance with Section 12D.8.B(3) this Ordinance and pursuant to the City Purchaser's authority under Section 21.6 of the Administrative Code.

(D) The City Purchaser shall maintain, with the assistance

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558 3315

of the Director, a current list of Minority and Women Business Enterprises certified by the Commission to provide each of those commodities which the City Purchaser indicates are required by the City and County. The City Purchaser shall notify the Director prior to solicitation of bids or quotations whenever no such certified businesses are available, unless the Director waives such notification based on the known unavailability of such qualified businesses to perform a particular contract. The Director shall attempt to identify qualified businesses, and if successful, shall notify the City Purchaser of their availability; the Purchaser shall provide such MBEs and WBEs every practical opportunity to submit bids or quotations.

Sec. 12D.11. Utilization Requirements -- Concession, Franchise and Lease.

For all leases in which the City is the lessor, all contracts for concessions or franchises shall be awarded and administered in accordance with the following standards and procedures:

(A) City departments shall solicit proposals from MBEs and WBEs qualified to enter into leases or concession contracts. Whenever a department, after investigation, determines that no such qualified enterprises are available, the Director shall be so notified prior to the signing of the lease or award of the concession contract, unless the Director waives such notification based on the known unavailability of such qualified businesses to

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

perform a particular contract. The Director may attempt to identify such qualified businesses, and if successful, shall notify the contract awarding authority of their availability. The contract awarding authority shall provide such MBE and WBEs an opportunity to submit bids or proposals.

(B) All requests for concession or lease proposals shall require concessionaires or lessees to make every good faith effort to utilize MBEs and WBEs as subconcessionaires or sublessees if subconcessionaires or subleasees are used. Concession proposers shall be required to submit their projected utilitization of MBEs and WBEs along with a description of the efforts made to utilize such businesses.

(C) All requests for or extensions of or amendments to franchises shall require franchisees to make every good faith effort to utilize MBEs and WBEs as suppliers. Franchises shall be required to submit their projected utilization of Minority and Women Business Enterprises.

(D) The following clause shall be contained in all concessions, franchises, and leases:

> "Contractor agrees that he/she shall actively solicit the employment of minority group members and women. Contractor further agrees that he/she shall actively solicit bids for the subcontracting of goods or services from qualified minority and women businesses. Contractor shall furnish evidence of compliance

with these requirements of minority and women employment and solicitation. Contractor further agrees to consider the grant of subcontracts to said minority and women bidders on the basis of substantially equal proposals in the light most favorable to said minority and women businesses. The contractor shall be required to submit evidence of compliance with this section as part of the bid."

Sec. 12D.12. Utilization Requirements -- Consultants, Professional Services and Other Contracts.

(A) For all contracts for consultants or other services, the contract awarding authority shall furnish the Director with an informational copy of all bid conditions and requests for proposals, if any, along with a statement identifying all funds provided by any other governmental agency which will be used in payment of the contract. Prior to solicitation of bids or proposals, the Director may make recommendations to the contract awarding authority with respect to provisions pertaining to MBE and WBE utilization.

(B) Contracts for consultant or other services, the estimated cost of which exceeds ten thousand dollars ($10,000), shall be awarded and administered in accordance with the following standards and procedures:

1. The contract awarding agency shall solicit bids or

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

proposals from MBEs and WBEs certified to provide the specified services. MBEs and WBEs shall be provided every practical opportunity to submit bids or proposals;

2. Bids and proposals shall identify the particular MBEs and WBEs to be utilized in performing the contract, specifying for each the dollar value of the participation, the type of work to be performed and such information as may reasonably be required to determine the responsiveness of the bid or proposal;

3. During the term of the contract any failure to comply with the levels of MBE and WBE participation identified in the bid or proposal shall be deemed a material breach of contract.

(C) All consultant selection panels and awarding officers shall give appropriate consideration to the utilization goals of the contract awarding authority in evaluating, recommending and selecting contractors. The Director may assist such panels and the department staff in evaluating the impact of their recommendation or selection on achievement of the contract awarding authority's utilization goals.

(D) All City and County departments, commissions, boards, officers and employees, in the deposit of City and County funds and performance of their other official duties, shall make every good faith effort to equitably utilize the services of Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises. Such services shall include, but are not limited to, the financial services of banks, savings and loan companies and other

commercial financial institutions, arrangement of travel and accomodations when traveling on official City and County business, and legal services to City and County departments. Commissions and boards shall submit to the Director on an annual basis a written report on the efforts made pursuant to this subsection.

(E) The City Treasurer, the Controller, and the Retirement Board shall report annually to the Director, with copies to the Mayor or the Chief Administrative Officer, their utilization of institutions which are MBEs and WBEs.

Sec. 12D.13. Exceptions and Waivers.

(A) The Director may waive the utilization requirements of this Ordinance for specific contracts under the following circumstances:

1. whenever the Director finds, with the advice of the contract awarding authority, that needed goods or services are available only from a sole source, and the prospective contractors is not currently disqualified from doing business with the City and County under provisions of Section 12D.8(B)(10) of this Ordinance, or from doing business with any governmental agency based on a failure to comply with Minority or Women Business Enterprise or contract compliance requirements;

2. if the contract awarding authority certifies in writing to the Director that the contract is necessary to respond to an emergency which endangers the public health or safety;

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558 3315

provided that such certification shall be made prior to the contract award.

(B) The Mayor, using the definition of emergency specified in the Charter, may waive the requirements of this Ordinance for the duration of that emergency.

Sec. 12D.14. Monitoring, Reporting and Compliance.

(A) The Commission is empowered to adopt rules and regulations consistent with the purposes of this Ordinance. The Director shall monitor compliance with these requirements during the term of the contract. If the Director determines that there is cause to believe that a contractor or subcontractor has failed to comply with any of the requirements of this Ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this Ordinance, or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE utilization, the Director shall notify the contract awarding authority and shall attempt to resolve the noncompliance through conciliation. If the noncompliance cannot be resolved, the Director shall submit to the Human Rights Commission and the contractor a written Finding of Noncompliance. The Human Rights Commission shall give the contractor an opportunity to appeal the Finding, and if the Commission concurs with the finding of the Director, it shall impose such sanctions or take such other action as will effectuate the purposes of this Ordinance.

(B) The Commission shall submit an annual report to the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Board of

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558 3315

Supervisors on the progress of the City and County toward the utilization goals established by Section 12D.7 of this Ordinance, together with an identification of problems and specific recommendations for improving the City and County's performance.

(C) The Director may require such reports, information and documentation from contractors, bidders, contract awarding authorities and the head of any department, division, or office of the City and County, as are reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements.

(D) In addition to the requirements set forth in Section 12D.14(C), awarding authorities shall maintain accurate records for each contract awarded, its dollar value, the nature of the goods or services to be provided, the name of the contractor awarded the contract, the efforts made by said contractor to solicit bids from and award the contract to MBEs and WBEs and all subcontracts awarded by the contractor, identifying for each its dollar value, the nature of the goods or services provided, and the name of the subcontractor.

(E) Each contract awarding authority is to include in its departmental Management-by-Objectives, objective measures with a quarterly target for the total dollar amount awarded to MBEs and to WBEs.

(F) Contractors and consultants must negotiate an affirmative action agreement with the Director which shall set the requirements of the Human Rights Commission in conformance with Chapter 12B of the Administrative Code.

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

(G) Whenever the Director finds after investigation that a contract awarding authority has failed to comply with the provisions of this Ordinance, a written Finding of Noncompliance within a specified time period specifying the nature of the noncompliance shall be transmitted to the contract awarding authority, to the Commission and to Mayor; and

The Director shall attempt to resolve any noncompliance through conference and conciliation. Should such attempt fail to resolve the noncompliance, the Director shall transmit a copy of the Finding of Noncompliance along with a finding that conciliation was attempted and failed to the Commission which shall notify the contract awarding agency to take appropriate action to secure compliance.

(H) When the contract awarding official has been determined to be culpable in the Finding of Noncompliance, that factor shall be communicated to the Mayor or the Chief Administrative Officer.

(I) If the Director has reason to believe that any person has knowingly made, filed, or caused to be filed with the City any materially false or misleading statement or report made in connection with this Ordinance, the Director shall report that information to the City Attorney for appropriate action under the San Francisco Municipal Code.

Sec 12D.15. Review.

(A) The Commission shall review and report to the Board of

Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Mayor annually on the extent of MBE and WBE participation in City and County prime contracts and procurements, including but not limited to: the purchasing of materials, supplies, equipment, insurance and contractual services, contracts, franchises, leases, concessions or other agreements involving real or personal property; and contracts involving the repair or construction of public works and improvements. If in the opinion of the Commission, the objectives of this Ordinance have been achieved in whole or in part, the Commission shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that those sections of the Ordinance which have met their objectives be repealed.

This Ordinance shall expire five years from its effective date unless the Human Rights Commission, after conducting hearings, finds that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not yet been achieved, in which case it shall certify said finding to the Board of Supervisors no later than 200 days prior to the effective expiration date. Thereafter by following the above described procedure the Ordinance may be extended for additional three year periods.

///

///

///

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558 3315

Sec. 12D.16. Severability.

The provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be separate and severable. The invalidity of any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or portion of this Ordinance, or the invalidity of the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Ordinance, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Vera E. Pozaic

Deputy City Attorney

9061C/dlr

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558 3315

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

MAR 26 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

John L. Taylor ..... Clerk

422-83 ..... 4/11/84

File No. ..... Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor ..... Clerk

..... Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

NINTH DRAFT 3/30/84

FILE NO. 27-83-2 ORDINANCE NO. 140-84

(Government Contracts)

AMENDING CHAPTER 6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 6.1-3 THERETO RELATING TO RATES OF WAGE IN CONTRACTS FOR PERSONAL SERVICES

Note: This section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Sec. 1. Findings.

(1) San Francisco International Airport is the gateway to the West;

(2) It is the fifth largest airport in the United States, and the sixth largest airport in the world;

(3) It provides a vital transportation link between the State and the economic system of the nation and the world;

(4) It enables the State and San Francisco to enjoy and provide the benefits of an international tourist and commercial center;

(5) Millions of passengers come through San Francisco International Airport annually;

(6) Tourism is one of the main sources of economic benefit to people and businesses in San Francisco;

(7) An efficiently operating airport that is free from turmoil is essential to San Francisco's tourism industry;

(8) Labor disputes at San Francisco International Airport focusing on the wages paid to people working at the Airport detract from the Airport as a centerpiece in the City's tourist industry;

(9) There has been an ongoing series of labor relations problems at San Francisco International Airport, where contractors (as that term is defined herein) have been subcontracting out the performance of certain personal services required for their operations;

(10) This practice is increasingly being used to undermine the wage and benefit levels previously paid for the performance of these personal services, which leads to a constant turnover in the workforce, lower skill levels, poor employee morale, and ongoing labor strife, all of which are detrimental to the safe and efficient operation of San Francisco International Airport;

(11) This problem has already resulted in job losses for hundreds of long-term, experienced workers including janitors, security guards, and various other occupations, and has lead to drastic reductions in pay and benefit levels for others;

(12) Without some kind of legislative action, people working for these contractors or subcontractors are unable to effectively protect themselves from the job and compensation losses that are resulting from these employment practices;

(13) The San Francisco Airports Commission and the San Francisco Civil Service Commission have recommended the adoption of an ordinance requiring the payment of prevailing wages;

(14) The Board of Supervisors finds it necessary to pass this prevailing wage ordinance in order to help protect the job security and compensation of people performing personal services for contractors and subcontractors operating at San Francisco International Airport;

(15) Commuter airlines operate small aircraft and serve small markets, and therefore the financial resources of such airlines are necessarily limited;

(16) The cost of labor is the largest cost of commuter airlines. The rates of pay of their employees have historically been substantially lower than the rates of pay granted to employees of most major airlines;

(17) At least six commuter airlines serving small cities in California and flying into San Francisco International Airport have filed bankruptcy in the past three years;

(18) The imposition of a prevailing wage requirement upon commuter airlines may impose an undue financial hardship on such airlines and could result in the loss of jobs by their employees.

Sec. 2. Chapter 6 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 6.1-3 thereto reading as follows:

Section 6.1-3 Prevailing Rates of Wage Required in Contracts for Personal Services; Non-Profit Making Enterprise Excluded; Small Business Exemption.

The provisions of this ordinance shall apply to every contract, lease, franchise, concession, permit or other agreement awarded, let or granted for or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Airports Commission under which the contractor, lessee, franchisee, concessionaire or other party of said agreement (hereafter the "contracting party") will engage in an activity, render a service, or exercise a privilege on property subject to the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission, or otherwise take measures that are supportive of, ancillary to, or an integral element of San Francisco International Airport.

This ordinance shall obligate the contracting party to pay

not less than the prevailing rate of wage to any person performing personal services (as those terms are defined herein) on property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission and shall further require the contracting party to include a similar provision in all subcontracts, subleases or other subordinate agreements let, awarded, negotiated or entered into by the contracting party with any third person which involves personal services to be performed on property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission.

This ordinance shall not apply to the following:

(1) Personal services wherein the person performing personal services is paid a basic wage exclusive of fringe benefits in excess of $40,000 annually or the hourly equivalent thereof, annually adjusted on July 1 based upon the Consumer Price Index for the San Francisco Bay Area, except when as a result of a determination of prevailing wage, said person's basic wage is increased to an amount in excess of that figure;

(2) Any permit issued by the San Francisco Airports Commission authorizing the permittee to engage in business on the property under the Commission's jurisdiction, provided that the Commission finds that (a) the permittee has fewer than six employees or full-time equivalent employees working on City property, or (b) the permittee pays to the City less than $10,000 in any calendar year for said permit and provided further that the permittee is not a subcontractor as defined herein. The $10,000 limit shall be adjusted annually by the Commission to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index;

(3) Subcontractors providing courier, delivery service, or repair service to contracting parties where the persons performing the services spend less than 25% of their paid hours

per week on property subject to the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission;

(4) Contracts between the San Francisco Airports Commission or the City and County of San Francisco for the provision of personal services to be rendered to the San Francisco Airports Commission or to the City and County of San Francisco which contracts are exempt from the civil service provisions of the Charter pursuant to Charter Section 8.300.

(a) Definitions. The following definitions shall apply to the terms used herein:

"Concession" shall mean and include a grant of land or other property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission by or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Airports Commission to a person for the purpose or use specified in said grant.

"Concessionaire" shall mean and include a person who is the grantee or beneficiary of a concession as herein defined.

"Contract" shall mean and include any agreement involving personal services in the performance of a contract, lease, franchise, concession, permit or other agreement awarded, let or granted for or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Airports Commission or to be performed at any facility owned, leased or otherwise under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission; provided, however, that the term "contract" shall not include contracts let by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Charter Section 8.300-1.

"Contractor" shall mean and include any person who submits a bid and/or enters into a contract with the City and County of San Francisco by and through the San Francisco Airports Commission, or for a franchise, concession or lease of property,

or for personal services to be purchased at the expense of the City and County or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury or out of trust moneys under the control of or collected by the City and County where the work will be performed or the franchise or concession authorizes business activity on or the lease of property involves land under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission.

"Franchise" shall mean and include a right or privilege conferred by grant from the San Francisco Airports Commission, and vesting in and authorizing a person to conduct such business or engage in such activity on property subject to the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission as is specified in such grant.

"Franchisee" shall mean and include a person who is the grantee or beneficiary of a franchise as herein defined.

"Lease" shall mean and include any agreement, permit or license by which the San Francisco Airports Commission grants to a person the temporary possession and use of property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission for reward, and the latter agrees to return the same to the former at a future date.

"Lessee" shall mean and include a person or tenant taking possession of property under a lease as herein provided, and further includes a bailee under a bailment agreement providing a rental for personal property, provided that the lease or bailment involves property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission.

"Permit" shall mean an authorization by or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco through the San Francisco Airports Commission authorizing a person to engage in such

activity or to perform such personal services as are specified in such authorization on property under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission.

"Person" shall include any individual, firm, proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or combination thereof.

"Personal services" shall mean and include all labor other than executive or managerial services or labor on public works and improvements.

"Prevailing rate of wage" shall be that rate of compensation being paid to a majority of workers engaged in a specified category of personal services, if a majority of such workers be paid at a single rate; if there be no single rate being paid to a majority, then the prevailing rate shall be the single rate being paid the greatest number of workers. Prevailing rate of wage shall have the same meaning as set forth in Section 16012, Title 8, California Administrative Code.

"Subcontract" shall mean and include any agreement under or subordinate to a prime contract, lease, franchise, concession, permit or other agreement awarded, let or granted for or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Airports Commission.

"Subcontractor" shall mean and include any person who enters into an agreement with a contracting party to perform services authorized or allowed by the contract, lease, franchise, concession, permit or other agreement as defined by this ordinance.

"Sublease" shall mean and include a lease (as herein defined) by which a lessee or tenant grants or lets to another person part or all of the leased property for a shorter term than

/ / /

the original lease and under which said lessee or tenant retains some right or interest under the original lease.

"Verified complaint" shall mean a written complaint alleging violation of this ordinance. This verified complaint shall name the injured party or parties, the specified category of personal services about which the complaint is being filed, and the party alleged to be violating this ordinance. The verified complaint shall also contain supporting evidence including facts and figures on compensation paid for private sector employment in the specified category of personal services, including the names and addresses of those firms cited. This statement shall be accompanied by an affidavit attesting to its truth and accuracy by the party filing the complaint.

(b) Determination of Prevailing Rate of Wage. The Civil Service Commission shall, upon request, assist a prospective bidder, contracting party or subcontractor to determine the prevailing rate of wage for a given category of personal services by: (1) sharing the results of pertinent prevailing wages surveys or determinations which the Civil Service Commission has conducted or obtained, or (2) referring the prospective bidder, contracting party or subcontractor to agencies or organizations that might assist them in determining the prevailing rate of wage.

Upon receipt of a verified complaint from the San Francisco Airports Commission, the Civil Service Commission shall determine the specified category of personal services for which the complaint is being filed. If the Civil Service Commission determines that the complaining party is performing personal services in a specified category other than the one listed in the complaint, the complaining party shall be given thirty (30) days

/ / /

to further supplement the evidence already submitted in his or her verified complaint.

The Civil Service Commission shall, within forty-five (45) days of receipt of the complaint, determine the prevailing rate of wage for the specified category of personal services, and immediately forward that determination to the San Francisco Airports Commission.

In furtherance of this purpose, the Civil Service Commission shall conduct or obtain a survey of wages and benefits paid in private sector employment for similar work in the San Francisco Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. Where similar work is not being performed in the San Francisco Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or is being performed in such insignificant quantities as to render data unreliable for purposes of comparison, the Civil Service Commission shall determine the prevailing rate of wage in San Mateo County.

In conducting a survey to determine the prevailing rate of wage for a specified category of personal services, the Civil Service Commission, or any contracting agency thereof, shall exclude from its survey the wages and benefits paid by the responding party.

In making its determination with respect to the prevailing rate of wage for a specified category of personal services, the Civil Service Commission shall consider, but its determination shall not be limited to, wages and benefits established through bona fide collective bargaining agreements for similar work in the San Francisco Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

The Civil Service Commission may make an initial determination that the complaint provides insufficient evidence to suggest a possible violation of this ordinance and may dismiss

the complaint on that basis, furnishing the complaining party a written explanation of its determination.

The determination of the prevailing rate of wage by the Civil Service Commission shall be final and binding on all other boards and commissions of the City and County of San Francisco.

(c) Complaints; Investigation and Hearing. Any affected employee, job applicant, contractor, or employee organization representing employees or applicants shall have standing to pursue the enforcement of the prevailing wage provisions of this ordinance against any person, lessor, franchisor, contractor, concessionaire, subcontractor, lessee or franchisee before the San Francisco Airports Commission.

Upon the filing of a verified complaint with the San Francisco Airports Commission, with proof of service on the responding party or parties by certified or registered mail, by any person or organization, as described herein, alleging a violation of the provisions of this ordinance, the San Francisco Airports Commission shall request a determination by the Civil Service Commission of the prevailing rate of wage applicable to the personal services that are the subject matter of the complaint, and forward a copy of the verified complaint to the Civil Service Commission.

Promptly upon receipt of the prevailing wage determination by the Civil Service Commission, the San Francisco Airports Commission shall make a full investigation of the matter and shall, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the determination of the Civil Service Commission, hold a hearing thereon. Said hearing shall afford to the responding party or parties and the complaining party or parties a full and adequate opportunity to submit evidence regarding the alleged violations of the

provisions of this ordinance. Said hearings, however, may not inquire into the Civil Service Commission's determination of the prevailing rate of wage.

The president of the San Francisco Airports Commission shall have the power to administer oath to witnesses in said hearings under this section. In the event that any person shall fail or refuse to appear as a witness in any such proceeding after being requested to do so, and if it shall appear to the San Francisco Airports Commission that his or her testimony, or books, records, documents or other things under his or her control are material and relevant as evidence in the matter under consideration in the proceeding, the presiding officer may subpoena such person, requiring his or her presence at the proceeding, and requiring him or her to bring such books, records, documents or other things under his or her control.

If any contracting party or subcontractor shall fail to appear at said hearing after having been given written notice to appear, such failure to appear shall be grounds for cancellation of the contract or subcontract and such contracting party or subcontractor shall be deemed to have forfeited all rights, benefits and privileges thereunder.

Within fifteen (15) days of the close of the hearing, the San Francisco Airports Commission shall issue a written decision regarding the alleged violation, and shall serve copies on all parties to the proceedings.

(d) Violation of Ordinance; Option of Awarding Officer, Board or Commission; Cancellation or Penalty or Both. Any contracting party or subcontractor found to have violated the provisions of this ordinance shall have the opportunity to correct the violation within thirty (30) days and shall submit to

the San Francisco Airports Commission documentary evidence, including but not limited to payroll records, the truth and accuracy of which is attested to by affidavit, as proof of compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Failure to correct the violation of this ordinance or failure to submit certified proof of compliance, or misrepresentation of proof of compliance shall constitute a material breach of contract and the San Francisco Airports Commission is empowered to: (1) cancel the contract, or (2) require the forfeiture by the contracting party or subcontractor of one hundred ($100.00) dollars per day for such laborer employed for each calendar day or portion thereof while he or she shall be so employed and not paid the prevailing rate of wage or, in the alternative, assess a penalty in an amount not more than ten (10%) percent of the dollar amount of the contract or subcontract, such sums to be deposited in the fund out of which the contract is awarded, or (3) both cancel the contract and assess the forfeiture or penalty. The contractor and subcontractor shall be jointly and severally liable for any dollar penalty or forfeiture assessed against the subcontractor. If the San Francisco Airports Commission cancels the contract, no recovery shall be had thereon by the contractor or subcontractor.

(e) Additional Penalty; Irresponsible Contractors; Disqualification. In addition to any other penalties herein provided for violation of the provisions of this ordinance, any person obligated to pay prevailing wages hereunder who is found willfully to have failed and neglected to pay said prevailing wages shall be declared an irresponsible bidder by the San Francisco Airports Commission and shall not be awarded, let or granted any contract, lease, franchise, permit or concession by

/ / /

or on behalf of the City and County for a period of two (2) years thereafter.

(f) City and County Not Liable in Money Damages. In undertaking to impose on its contractors and subcontractors the above-described obligation to pay prevailing rates of wage, the City and County of San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

(g) Application to Existing Contracts. To the extent permitted by law, as of its effective date this ordinance shall apply to all existing contracts, with or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco subject to the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Airports Commission, and to all subcontracts existing pursuant thereto, to the extent those contracts are expressly subject to the application of lawful ordinances enacted after the date the contracts were executed. No person performing personal services as described herein shall suffer a reduction in wages, working conditions, practices or fringe benefits as a result of the adoption of this ordinance.

(h) Notification. The San Francisco Airports Commission shall notify all prospective bidders and all contracting parties of their requirements under this ordinance.

(i) Empowerment. The San Francisco Civil Service Commission is hereby granted the power to do all acts and exercise all powers referred to in Section 6-1-3(b) hereof.

The San Francisco Airports Commission is hereby granted the power to do all acts and exercise all powers referred to in Section 6.1-3(c), (d), and (e) hereof.

(j) Small Business Exemption. This ordinance shall not apply to any contracting party employing less than ten (10) employees. Subcontractors of contracting parties are not subject to this exemption unless the number of employees of the contracting party and subcontractor combined is still less than ten (10). For purposes of this exemption, the term "employees" excludes owner-operators and members of the owner-operators' immediate family.

The San Francisco Airports Commission is hereby authorized and empowered to relieve a contracting party from the provisions of this ordinance if the party is operating an air carrier service exclusively utilizing aircraft having a passenger capacity of not more than sixty seats or a payload capacity of not more than 18,000 pounds and if the Airports Commission finds, after a noticed public hearing, that the application of the provisions of this ordinance to such contracting party would impose a disproportionately heavier financial burden on such contracting party than on other air carriers.

(k) Non-Profit Making Enterprises Exemption. Contracting parties who are non-profit making enterprises are not subject to the requirement to pay prevailing rates of wage pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance. This exemption, however, merely denotes a determination by the Board of Supervisors that wage polices of non-profit making enterprises require consideration of different factors and should be addressed by separate legislation.

(l) Pre-emption. This ordinance shall not confer upon the City and County of San Francisco or any officer, board, commission or other agency thereof any power not otherwise provided by law to determine the legality of any collective bargaining agreement, nor shall anything in this ordinance be

interpreted or applied so as to create any power or duty in conflict with the pre-emptive effectiveness of any federal or state law.

(m) Severability. If any part or provision of this ordinance or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held to be invalid, the remainder of the ordinance, including the application of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, the provisions of this ordinance are severable.

(n) Post-Adoption Review. The San Francisco Airports Commission and the San Francisco Civil Service Commission shall submit reports to the Board of Supervisors not later than twelve (12) months after the ordinance goes into effect. These reports shall discuss generally the effectiveness of this ordinance in addressing the problems outlined in the findings, the need for further legislation, and alternative methods whereby the objectives of the ordinance can be more effectively carried out. These reports shall further contain recommended legislative changes as deemed appropriate by the Airports Commission and the Civil Service Commission.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Judith A. Boyajian
Deputy City Attorney

0396D

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP NELDER

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

Clerk

APR 11 1984
Approved

27532
File No.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP NELDER

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/28/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-100 ORDINANCE NO. 141-84

RO #4203 SA #79

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING ~~$198,561~~ $43,131 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - CRAFT AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR THE CREATION OF SIX (6) POSITIONS, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
~~01-001~~	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	~~$198,561~~	
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
48-4830 (841601)	Contribution to #35-00-00 Municipal Railway Operating Fund		~~$198,561~~
	Total General Fund	~~$198,561~~	~~$198,561~~
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund Revenue Appropriation		
~~97-9701 (196113)~~	~~Contribution from General Fund~~ Unallocated 01-001	~~$198,561~~	
35-00-00	Municipal Railway-Expenditure Appropriation		
01-0030 (560722)	Permanent Salaries - Craft	$43,131	$34,118 ~~$155,904~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		9,013 ~~42,657~~
	Total Municipal Railway Operating Fund	$43,131 ~~$198,561~~	$43,131 ~~$198,561~~

/ / / / / /

/ / / / / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 2 - 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for six (6) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-22) as follows:

Fund	Municipal Railway Operating Fund	
Department	Municipal Railway	
Classification	Rate of Pay	Create
7249 Automotive Mechanic Supervisor I	17.62 Hr.	1
7322 Automotive Body and Fender Assistant Supervisor	17.05 Hr.	1
7382 Automotive Mechanic Assistant Supervisor	16.85 Hr.	4
	Total	6

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-100 APR 11 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/28/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-135

ORDINANCE NO. 142-84

RO #4291 SA #207

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

3/28/84 1s

APPROPRIATING $4,905,886 ~~$6,000,000~~ FOR GENERAL ASSISTANCE, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$4,905,886 ~~$6,000,000~~	
45-00-00	Department of Social Services		
15-1530 (647206)	General Assistance		$4,905,886 ~~$6,000,000~~

3/28/84 1s

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas M. Mooney
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 06 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 2 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-135
File No.

APR 11 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-137 ORDINANCE NO. 143-84

RO #4277 SA #158

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $825 FOR OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES AND RESCINDING $825 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, FARMER'S MARKET FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
72-02-00	Farmer's Market		
01-0010 (422048)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$ 825	
10-1269	Other Contractual Services		$ 825

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED:
MAR 05 1984
[signature]
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 29 1984

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

[signature] Clerk

101-83-137
File No.

APR 11 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, ~~Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, RENNE, SILVER, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-138 ORDINANCE NO. 144-84

RO #4279 SA#189

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $67,241 FOR MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACTS AND RESCINDING $67,241 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
87-00-00	Community Mental Health Services		
01-0010 (765149)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$67,241	
10-1010 (750026)	Medical Services Contracts		$48,587
1010 (765073)	Medical Services Contracts		18,654
	Total	$67,241	$67,241

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Dormitzer
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 05 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 2 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 1 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~None: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-138 File No.

APR 11 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~ Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~None: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-140 ORDINANCE NO. 145-84

RO #4276 SA #123

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING) CC

APPROPRIATING $210,000 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES AND TRAINING, PUC - BUREAU OF ENERGY CONSERVATION, FUNDING FROM INTERDEPARTMENTAL RECOVERY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
09-051	PUC - Interdepartmental Recovery Fund		
40-06-00	PUC - Bureau of Energy Conservation		
10-1001 (561407)	Professional and Special Services		$170,000
1209	Training		40,000
39-3900 (942714)	Work Order Recovery		(210,000)
			- 0 -

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Dorsey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell Jr.
CONTROLLER

MAR 05 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-140
File No.

APR 11 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, RENNE, SILVER, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-141 ORDINANCE NO. 146-84

1984 MAR -7 PM 1:43 RO #4278 SA #122

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $996,000 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECT) AND SERVICES OF PUC, HETCH-HETCHY WATER AND POWER FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
33-001	Hetch-Hetchy Operating Fund		
G.L. 490 (025015)	Unappropriated Revenue	$996,000	
32-00-00	Hetch-Hetchy Water and Power		
20-2010 (336222)	Program Project Budgets		
Proj.	Energy Conservation - SFUSD		$496,000
Proj.	Energy Conservation - SFCCD		290,000
30-3600 (336016)	Services of other Departments - PUC Administrative Services		210,000
	Total	$996,000	$996,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Downey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
MAR 05 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 1 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-141
File No.

APR 11 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, RENNE, SILVER, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/28/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-142

ORDINANCE NO. 147-84

RO #4292 SA #215

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING ~~$238,152~~ $224,841 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES-MISCELLANEOUS ~~AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS~~ AND RESCINDING $80,000 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, FEES AND OTHER COMPENSATION AND OTHER CURRENT EXPENSES, SUPERIOR COURT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal year 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$144,841 ~~$236,152~~	
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	80,000	
10-00-00	Superior Court		
01-0010 (316083)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous		$52,582 ~~$53,092~~
~~04-0600~~	~~Mandatory Fringe Benefits~~		- 0 - ~~12,801~~
02-0400	Fees and Other Compensation		157,259
10-1299	Other Current Expenses		15,000
	Total	$224,841 ~~$238,152~~	$224,841 ~~$238,152~~

APPROVED AS TO FROM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: Thomas G. Doherty
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 07 1984

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-142
File No.

APR 11 1984
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-143 [illegible]-7 AM 11:43

ORDINANCE NO. 148-84

RO #4280 SA #98

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $1,148 FOR EQUIPMENT AND RESCINDING $1,148 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, ASSESSOR'S OFFICE FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
02-00-00	Assessor's Office		
04-0600 (301598)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	$1,148	
22-2260	Office Equipment		$1,148

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Donnelly
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
MAR 05 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

APR 11 1984

101-83-143
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, RENNE, SILVER, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-145

ORDINANCE NO. 149-84

RO #4269 SA #148

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

8/84 APPROPRIATING ~~$138,082~~ $35,757 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING $154,000 FROM JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR CREATION OF SIX (6) POSITIONS, AIRPORT COMMISSION FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
30-001	Airport Operating Fund		
8/84 G.L. 495 (022012)	Unreserved Fund Balance		$118,243 ~~$ 15,918~~
27-05-65	Airport Commission		
10-1222 (570309)	Janitorial Services	$154,000	
8/84 01-0010	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous		27,895 ~~107,976~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		7,862 ~~30,106~~
	Total	$154,000	$154,000

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for six (6) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-26) as follows:

Fund	Airport Operating Fund	
Department	Airport Commission	
Classification	Rate of Pay	Create
7392 Window Cleaner	788 B 952	6

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas C. Toomey
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: [signature]
General Manager, Personnel

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell Jr.
CONTROLLER
FEB 24 1984

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI WALKER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-145 File No.

APR 11 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

[signature] Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 3/28/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-146

ORDINANCE NO. 150-84

AO #4283 SA #169

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $12,237 ~~$17,214~~ FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RESCINDING $12,237 ~~$17,214~~ FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR RECLASSIFICATION OF THREE (3) POSITIONS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
04-01-00	District Attorney		
01-0010 (303271)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$ 3,723 ~~$ 5,237~~	$ 3,723 ~~$ 5,237~~
0010 (303081) Proj 075-01	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	4,649 ~~6,540~~	4,649 ~~6,540~~
	Total General Fund	8,372 ~~$11,777~~	8,372 ~~$11,777~~
09-099	District Attorney - Work Order Recovery Fund		
04-01-00	District Attorney		
01-0010 (303677)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$ 3,865 ~~$ 5,437~~	$ 3,865 ~~$ 5,437~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for three (3) positions reclassified by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-31) as follows:

/ / / /

/ / / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Fund	General Fund		
Department	District Attorney		
Classification	Rate of Pay	Abolish	Create
1402 Junior Clerk	455 B 549	1	
1424 Clerk Typist	544 B 655	1	
1446 Senior Clerk Stenographer	655 B 792	1	
1404 Clerk	524 B 631		1
1426 Senior Clerk Typist	596 B 721		1
1408 Principal Clerk	717 B 866		1
	Total,	3	3

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-146
File No.

APR 11 1984
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 102-83-22 ORDINANCE NO. 151-84

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 28

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF SIX POSITIONS IN THE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE DIVISION OF THE MUNICIPAL RAILWAY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 35	Municipal Railway	
		DP/DV/SC: 350200	Muni/Equipment Maintenance Division	
		Fund: 31001	Municipal Railway Operating	
		Object: 003	Regular Craft	
1 added	1	7249 A	Automotive Mechanic Supervisor I.	$17.62 HR
1 added	1	7322 A	Automotive Body and Fender Asst. Supv. .	17.05 HR
4 added	4	7382 A	Automotive Mechanic Asst. Supv.	16.85 HR

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas G. Dorney
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 28

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors ~~HONGISTO~~ SILVER

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-22 APR 11 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-83-26

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

ORDINANCE NO. 1524

SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 32

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF SIX NEW POSITIONS AT THE SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and sections of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 27	Airport	
		DP/DV/SC: 270000	Airport	
		Fund: 30499	Personnel Fund-Airport	
		Object: 003	Regular Craft	
6 added	6	7392 N	Window Cleaner.	$788-952

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 32

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

APR 11 1984

102-83-26 Approved
File No.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO 102-83-31

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

ORDINANCE NO. 153-84

SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 36

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF THREE POSITIONS IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	04 District Attorney	
		DP/DV/SC:	040100 General	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.	
1 abolished	0	1402 A	Junior Clerk	$455-549
1 added	3	1404 A	Clerk	524-631
1 abolished	0	1424 A	Clerk Typist	544-655
1 added	3	1426 A	Senior Clerk Typist	596-721
1 abolished	2	1446 A	Senior Clerk Stenographer	655-792
1 added	1	1408 A	Principal Clerk	717-866

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 36

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-31 File No.

APR 11 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 32-84-1 ORDINANCE NO. 154-84

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 72-77, RELATING TO RATES AND CHARGES FOR CABLE TELEVISION SERVICE.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parenthesis)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 1, Ordinance No. 72-77, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. The schedule of rates and charges for service to be rendered to subscribers in the City and County of San Francisco by Viacom Cablevision, which shall become effective sixty (60) days after final passage of this Ordinance ((No. 72-77)), or June 1, 1984, or whichever is later, shall be as follows:

A. Basic Service Installation Rate

Connection Charge	$25.00
Connection Charge - Second Outlet	$25.00
Reconnections	$15.00
Inside Relocations	$20.00
Inside Line Extensions	$15.00

((A. ((Residential))	((Year 1977)) ((After Effective)) ((Date of Ordinance))		((Effective)) ((1-1-78))	((Effective)) ((1-1-79))
((Connection charge	((($35.00))	$25.00	(($35.00))	(($35.00))
((Connection charge - second outlet	$35.00		$35.00	$35.00
((Reconnections	15.00		15.00	15.00))
((Inside Relocations	20.00		20.00	20.00))
((Inside Line Extension	15.00		15.00	15.00))

B. Basic Service Subscriber Rates (Monthly)

	Sixty Days After Passage of Ordinance or June 1, 1984 Whichever is Later	June 1, 1985
Primary Outlet (Basic or FM Service)	$12.50	$15.00
SSI/SSP Senior Citizen Rate (Basic or FM Service)	$10.00	$10.00
Secondary Outlet (Basic or FM Service)	$5.00	$5.00
Blind/Print Handicapped Rate (Primary FM Service)	$5.00	$5.00

((B. ((Monthly Service - Residential))		((Effective)) ((1-1-78))	((Effective)) ((1-1-79))
((Primary outlet	$7.50	8.75	10.00))
((Second outlet	3.00	3.25	3.50))

C. Multiple Dwelling Rates (Monthly

10 - ((20)) 25 units	$8.00 unit
26 - 50 units	$200.00 + 5.66/unit in excess of 25
51 - 100 units	$342.00 + 5.58/unit in excess of 50
101 - 200 units	$621.00 + 4.86/unit in excess of 100
201 - 300 units	$1,107.00 + 3.43/unit in excess of 200

Above 300 units, by Negotiated Contract.

((C. Multiple Dwelling Rates (Monthly)))
((Year 1977 After Effective Date of Ordinance))

((10 - 25 units		$6.00/unit))
((26 - 50 units	$150.00+	4.75/unit in excess of 25))
((51 - 100 units	$269.00+	4.45/unit in excess of 50))
((101 - 200 units	$492.00+	3.86/unit in excess of 100))
((201 - 300 units	$878.00+3.00	3.43/unit in excess of 200))

(Above 300 units by Negotiated Contract.))

D. CONDUIT INSTALLATION RATE

The charge to a property owner or resident for installing underground conduit on his or her property will be Viacom's cost of labor, materials and associated overhead. This charge will be effective January 1, 1985, provided, however:

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(i) that in areas which have not previously been provided with cable service, the charge will be waived for any owner or resident of private property who requests conduit installation within 30 days after notice of the availability of such service is given to the owner or to the resident on the owner's property.

(ii) that in areas which have previously been provided with cable service, a purchaser of private property after January 1, 1985 shall be entitled to receive, within six (6) months of a request therefore, an estimate from Viacom of the cost to install underground conduit on his or her property, which estimate shall be based on Viacom's cost of labor, materials and associated overhead, but which shall not exceed One Hundred and Fifty Dollars ($150).

((D. Multiple Dwelling Rates (Monthly) Effective 1-1-78))

((10 - 20 units		$7.00/unit))
((26 - 50 units	$175.00+	4.95/unit in excess of 25))
((51 - 100 units	$299.00+	4.85/unit in excess of 50))
((101 - 200 units	$543.00+	4.25/unit in excess of 100))
((201 - 300 units	$968.00+	3.00/unit in excess of 200))

Above 300 units by Negotiated Contract.))

E. HOME TERMINAL UNIT DEPOSIT

There shall be a deposit in the amount of $20.00 refundable to subscriber upon return of the home terminal unit to Viacom when service is terminated. The deposit shall be interest-bearing and interest earned shall be credited annually to each subscriber's account at the close of each calendar year. Vicacom shall not

discriminate in assessing deposits on the basis of sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, or sexual orientation.

((E. ((Multiple Dwelling Rates (Monthly))) ((Effective 1-1-79))

((10 - 20 units		$8.00/unit))
((26 - 50 units	$ 200.00+	5.66/unit in excess of 25))
((51 - 100 units	$ 343.00+	5.58/unit in excess of 50))
((101 - 200 units	$ 621.00+	4.86/unit in excess of 100))
((201 - 300 units	$1107.00+	3.43/unit in excess of 200))

((Above 300 units by Negotiated Contract.))

F. PROMOTIONAL DISCOUNTS

Viacom may discount its rates at any time for promotional and marketing purposes. Viacom shall not discriminate in applying discounts on the basis of sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, or sexual orientation.

((F. Converter Deposit))

((There shall be a refundable converter deposit in the amount of $10.00 payable on connection to the system, to insure the return of cable television equipment at the time of disconnection of service, said deposit to be interest-bearing and amount of interest earned to be credited annually to each subscriber's account at the close of each calendar year. For purposes of this subsection F, hotels and motels shall be deemed subject to the residential converter deposit.))

Section 2. Section 2, Ordinance No. 72-77, is hereby added to read as follows:

Section 2. For the purposes of this schedule of rates and charges, the following definitions shall be used.

(a) Basic Service

Basic Service means, through December 31, 1985, those programming services provided on March 15, 1984, to all subscribers for a single monthly fee, except for programming modifications made in compliance with FCC regulation, or the deletion of programming services which have substantially ceased operation or for which the cost of carriage (including any compulsory license fee) has increased substantially since March 15, 1984.

Subsequent to December 31, 1985, Basic Service shall not be less than those signals which Viacom is required to carry on its cable television system pursuant to FCC regulation, and all community programming and public, municipal and education access channels which Viacom is required to provide pursuant to this ordinance.

(b) FM Service

FM Service shall mean a reception service retransmitting radio signals to subscribers via coaxial cable in the FM band, and shall include a reading service for the blind, if such service is on any radio signal that Viacom can retransmit on the FM Service.

(c) SSI/SSP Senior Citizen Rate

SSI/SSP Senior Citizen Rate means a rate for Basic Service that is available to heads of households who furnish verification to Viacom that they are over 65 years of age and are, for any reason, including

blindness or other physical disability, recipients of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Supplemental Security Payments (SSP).

(d) Blind/Print Handicapped Rate

Blind/Print Handicapped Rate means the rate for FM Service that is available to heads of households who furnish verification to Viacom that they are blind or print handicapped. This rate shall be offered as long as a reading service for the blind or print handicapped is on any radio signal that Viacom can retransmit on the FM Service.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

0687p/bc

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~ Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

32-84-1

File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein

Mayor

AS AMENDED IN BD. 4/2/84

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
3/20/84

File No. 115-84-3 Ordinance No. 155-84

(Secondary Units)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 11 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 207 AND ADDING SECTION 207.2 TO PRECLUDE SECOND UNITS IN RH-1(D) and RH-1 ZONED AREAS.

Note: Additions are indicated by underlining.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 207 to read as follows:

Sec. 207. Density of Dwelling Units in R Districts. The density of dwelling units permitted in the various R districts shall be as set forth in Section 209.1 of this Code and in Sections 207.1 and 207.2. The term "dwelling unit" is defined in Section 102.6 of this Code.

Section 2. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 207.2, to read as follows:

SEC. 207.2. Second Units. (a) Second units, as defined and referred to in Government Code Section 65852.2 (c), are precluded in RH-1(D) and RH-1 zoned areas, except where second units are currently permitted under Section 209.1(m) ~~and 209.1(n)~~ for units designed for and occupied by senior citizens or physically handicapped persons.

4/2/84
al

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(b) The following findings are made in order to comply with Government Code Section 65852.2(c).

1. San Francisco is the most densely populated city in California. It is the fourth most densely populated city in the nation following only New York City and two cities in New Jersey (Jersey City and Patterson).

2. San Francisco already has higher density development than other cities in California, both in terms of units per square feet of lot area and in terms of units per linear feet of street frontage. The average San Francisco lot is twenty-five feet wide with an area of 2,500 square feet.

3. San Francisco's total land area is approximately 49 square miles and much of this land is not open to development because of topography or public ownership. San Francisco does not have the option open to many other cities of annexing undeveloped land currently outside its borders.

4. The limited land area and the limited developable land area of San Francisco make it difficult to provide sites to replace single-family houses lost through conversion to a higher density. Once single-family homes are converted into multiple dwelling structures by the addition of a second unit, single-family housing stock is eliminated from the existing supply of single-family homes. The irrevocable loss of the limited supply of single-family housing stock throughout the City will adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of San Francisco residents.

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

5. Single-family structures represent only one-third of all residential structures in San Francisco compared to 60 percent of the residential structures in the State of California. Single-family homes accounted for 11.6 percent of the net increase in San Francisco housing units in 1980. The 11.6 percent shows a decline from the previous year in which single-family homes represented 15 percent of the net addition. Other jurisdictions in California have single-family structures representing 52 to 80 percent of their new residential building permits for the same period.

6. The number of families in San Francisco declined in the years from 1970 to 1980, as evidenced by the school enrollment for the population group under 15 years old. The decline in enrollment was from 106,900 to 83,790 in 1980. The zoning policy of the City and County of San Francisco should encourage families to live in the City rather than encouraging them to leave the City. A further decline in the number of families living in the City is detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

7. The addition of second units to single-family dwellings usually results in an increase in the cost of those dwellings, and, in addition, to the cost of the remaining smaller supply of single-family homes without second units. An increase in the cost of these types of dwellings will discourage families from living in the City because the cost of dwellings most suitable for families will be beyond the means of many who would otherwise live in the City.

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

8. San Francisco will probably face a need for more large units in the future than it did in the past, as the population ages and the new baby boom continues. Many women born between 1945 and 1952 who delayed child-bearing during the 1970's are now having babies at the same rate as women born after 1952.

9. The addition of second units in single-family houses throughout the City will irrevocably deplete its limited supply and discourage families from living in the City by removing the type and size of dwelling units most suitable for families.

10. Parking problems are severe in a number of areas of the City because of its dense population. The addition of second units in such areas will exacerbate the parking problem. Imposing off-street parking requirements on secondary units would only partially alleviate that problem in that additional units cause increased traffic other than that engaged in by the occupants of the units (such as persons visiting the occupants for social or business purposes) as well as by the occupants of the units.

11. Increased parking problems in areas of the City already burdened with traffic congestion adversely affects the health, safety and welfare of the residents of such areas by interfering with access to off-street parking spaces, requiring additional police services to control traffic problems and unlawful parking, requiring occupants and visitors to park further from their homes (thereby also exposing themselves to greater inconvenience and, in some instances, threat to safety), and interfering with access

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

by emergency vehicles during an emergency (a problem which is further complicated in areas with narrow streets, winding roads, and other topographical features which make access by vehicles difficult).

12. A need exists in San Francisco for additional affordable housing. Allowing second units in RH-1(D) and RH-1 districts is one means of providing such housing. However, to allow second units without restriction in all areas currently zoned RH-1(D) and RH-1 would adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of the public by permitting the conversion of an undue number of single-family houses to multi-family units; by eliminating low density residential areas in the City and thereby depriving those who desire to live in the City without the stress of living in higher density areas of their opportunity to do so; and by permitting second units to be added in areas where undue traffic congestion, and the attendant difficulties described above, will occur.

13. A period of time is needed in order to determine those areas of the City where the traffic congestion problems described above would be least likely to occur and where second units may therefore be permitted without adverse impact to the public.

14. A study is being undertaken by Professor Martin Gellen of the University of California regarding the incidence and physical impacts of second units in R-1 zoning districts. This study will provide a basis, either alone or in conjunction with further study by staff of the Department of City Planning, for

4/1/83
al

determining those areas of the City where second units may be added without the adverse impacts described above.

15. Restricting second units in single-family homes in San Francisco's RH-1(D) and RH-1 zoning districts may limit the housing opportunities of the region. However, such limitation will only be for a period of up to ~~24~~ 18 months and the delay is justified in order to provide the City Planning Commission and its staff time to determine those areas of the City where second units would be appropriate and would not adversely affect the public health, safety and welfare of residents of the City and County of San Francisco. Furthermore:

(A) The City Planning Code presently permits a secondary unit in all single-family homes in RH-1(S)(House, One-Family with Minor Second Unit), RH-2 (House, Two-Family) and RH-3 (House, Three-Family) districts no matter what the lot size. Second units in single-family homes are permitted in all other multifamily residential districts (all RM and RC districts), depending on the size of the lot.

(B) The City Planning Code Section 209.1(c) permits the mapping of the RH-1(S) (House, One-Family with Minor Second Unit) district. These RH-1(S) zoning districts provide for a two-family dwelling with the second dwelling limited to 600 square feet of net floor area. The second unit remains subordinate to the owner's unit and the structures retain the appearance of single-family dwellings. The RH-1(S) zoning district has been mapped in three areas of the City. Additional

mapping of the RH-1(S) zoning district may be used to legalize existing secondary units in single-family homes and to increase the number of secondary units.

(C) Dwellings specifically designed for and occupied by senior citizens and handicapped persons are presently permitted by the City Planning Code (Section 209.1(m) ~~and 209.1(n)).~~

(c) The controls of this Section shall be in effect for ~~24~~ 18 months from the effective date of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors shall conduct a hearing regarding this ordinance within 21 months of its effective date.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By Paula Jesson

0138D
3/15/84

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY
ROOM 206 CITY HALL
SAN FRANCISCO 94102
558-3315

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

115-84-3
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 124-84-1 ORDINANCE NO. 156-84

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 28.1.81 THERETO, RELATING TO PROHIBITING OPERATION OF VEHICLES IN EXCESS OF 6,000 POUNDS UPON A PORTION OF JONES STREET.

NOTE: Additions are not underlined. The section added is entirely additional.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI, San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 28.1.81 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC.28.1.81. Jones Street between California and Pine Streets.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
By Deputy City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Deputy Director for Engineering and City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:
Director of Public Works and Clean Water Program

APPROVED:
for Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984
Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO WALKER
John L. Taylor Clerk
124-84-1 File No.
APR 11 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984
Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD
I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
John L. Taylor Clerk
Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO 155-84

ORDINANCE NO. 15784

(Special Fund)

AMENDING CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-43 THERETO, ESTABLISHING A SPECIAL LITIGATION CONTINGENCY FUND.

NOTE: The entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-43 thereto reading as follows:

SEC. 10.117-43. City Attorney Special Litigation Contingency Fund.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby established a special fund in the amount of $500,000 for the purpose of expeditiously providing for payment of the cost of unanticipated legal expenses. Said fund shall be known as the City Attorney Special Litigation Contingency Fund.

(b) Utilization of Fund. Said special fund shall be used solely to provide for the expeditious payment of the cost of unanticipated legal expenses for which funds had not been budgeted.

(c) Administration of Fund.

The monies for the fund shall be set aside and upon the request of the City Attorney and with the approval of the Mayor and the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, monies from the fund may be utilized for the aforementioned purpose.

Monies expended from this fund shall be restored by differential appropriation.

Any unexpended balance remaining in said fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of the provisions of Section 6.306 of the Charter and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said special fund for the purposes recited herein.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984
Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~
Noes: Supervisor KOPP
Absent: Supervisors HONGISTO MOLINARI NELDER WARD
John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984
Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~
Noes: Supervisor NELDER
Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD
I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
John L. Taylor Clerk

155-84 APR 11 1984
File No. Approved
Mayor

FILE NO 176-84 ORDINANCE NO. 158-84

AMENDING SECTION 16.157, SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, APPROVING HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM PLANS, RATES OF CONTRIBUTION AND FEE SCHEDULES, AS ADOPTED BY THE HEALTH SERVICE BOARD.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 16.157 thereof to read as follows:

Section 16.157. HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM: Changes.

Changes in contribution rates adopted by the Health Service Board, as respects the plans of the Health Service System, to become effective on July 1, 1984, for the fiscal year July 1, 1984 through June 30, 1985, which changes are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby approved.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE P. AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]

RECOMMENDED:

Randall B. Smith
RANDALL B. SMITH
Executive Director
Health Service System

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, ~~Hongisto~~, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HONGISTO

John L. Taylor Clerk

176-84 APR 11 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-108 ORDINANCE NO. 159-84

RO #4224 SA #84

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

/84 APPROPRIATING ~~$32,325~~ $11,409 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND ITS RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING $46,495 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR THE RECLASSIFICATION OF ONE (1) POSITION, PUBLIC HEALTH - ADMINISTRATION FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
83-01-00	Public Health-Administration		
/84 01-0010 (441006)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	$37,550	$ 9,194 ~~$26,048~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	8,945	2,215 ~~6,277~~
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve		35,086 ~~14,170~~
	Total	$46,495	$46,495

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for one (1) position reclassified by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-30) as follows:

Fund	General Fund		
Department	Public Health - Administration		
Classification	Rate of Pay	Abolish	Create
2897 Deputy Director for Program Planning	2354 B 2862	1	
2821 Director of Health Program Planning	1690 B 2053		1

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Doremus
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: [signature]
General Manager, Personnel

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: JAN 24 1984
[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, RENNE, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-108 File No.

APR 18 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

[signature] Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-113

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

ORDINANCE NO. 160-84

RO #4246 SR #163

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 2 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROPRIATING $288,389 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, OTHER CURRENT SERVICES, OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES AND MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR CREATION OF THREE (3) POSITIONS, CITY PLANNING FOR FY 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for FY 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L.491(000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary 000052	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$ 288,389	
29-01-00	City Planning		
Project#114-01	South of Market Area Study		
01-0010(331504)	Permanent Salaries		$ 41,904
01-0600(331504)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		11,635
10-1001(331504)	Professional and Special Services		230,000
10-1200(331504)	Other Current Services		500
10-1269(331504)	Other Contractual Services		2,500
11-1300(331504)	Materials and Supplies		1,850
	Total	$ 288,389	$ 288,389

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for three (3) positions, created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 101-83-113) as follows:

FUND / DEPARTMENT / CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL FUND / CITY PLANNING / RATE OF PAY	CREATE
1314 Public Relations Officer	$ 1032 B $ 1249	1
5278 Planner II	912 B 1104	2

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 2 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, HONGISTO, KOPP

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-113 APR 16 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP NELDER

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-147 ORDINANCE NO. 161-84

RO #4274 SA #151

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

/84 APPROPRIATING $156,694 ~~$301,272~~ FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS ~~XXX/XXXXXXXX/XX/XXXX/XXXXXXX~~ FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR CREATION OF TWENTY-SEVEN (27) ~~TWENTY-EIGHT (28)~~ POSITIONS, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained	- 0 -	
/84 Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	~~$278,397~~	
60-6355 (052712)	Indo-Chinese Refugee Assistance-Federal Administrative Assistance	- 0 - ~~1,340~~	
99-9990 (160499)	Revenue Transfer in from 01-0291 Welfare and Assistance Administration Fund	- 0 - ~~23,535~~	
45-00-00	Department of Social Services		
01-0010 (647032)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$156,694	$123,088 ~~$204,090~~
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits		33,606 ~~57,114~~
30-3020 (647008)	Services of other Departments - City Attorney		- 0 - ~~42,068~~
	Total General Fund	$156,694 ~~$303,272~~	$156,694 ~~$303,272~~
01-0291	Welfare and Assistance Administration Fund		
60-6313 (052522)	AFDC - Federal Administrative Assistance	- 0 - ~~$ 22,582~~	
60-6346 (052548)	Food Stamp Program - Federal Aid	- 0 ~~953~~	
49-4900 (840041)	Revenue Transfer out to 01-001 General Fund		- 0 - ~~$ 23,535~~
	Total Welfare and Assistance Administration Fund	- 0 - ~~$ 23,535~~	- 0 - ~~$ 23,535~~

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 25 1984

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

4/4/84 Bls

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for ~~twenty-eight (28)~~ twenty-seven (27) positions created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-38) as follows:

Fund	General Fund	
Department	Department of Social Services	
Classification	Rate of Pay	Create
~~XXXX/ XXXXXXXXXX/ XXXXXXXXXXXX/ XXXXXX/ XXXXXXXXXX~~	~~XXXX/ X/ XXXX/~~	~~X~~
1426 Senior Clerk Typist	596 B 721	3
2905 Senior Eligibility Worker	681 B 822	21
2907 Eligibility Worker Supervisor	777 B 938	3
	Total	27 ~~28~~

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT ~~RENNE~~ WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-147 File No.

APR 16 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-147.1 ORDINANCE NO. 162-84

[GOVERNMENT FUNDING]

APPROPRIATING $26,111 FOR SERVICES OF CITY ATTORNEY, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
60-6355 (052712)	Indo-Chinese Refugee Assistance-Federal Administrative Assistance	$ 629	
99-9990 (160499)	Revenue Transfer in from 01-0291 Welfare and Assistance Administrative Fund	11,042	
45-00-00	Department of Social Services		
01-0010 (647032)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	14,440	
30-3020 (647008)	Services of other Departments - City Attorney		$26,111
	Total General Fund	$26,111	$26,111
01-0291	Welfare and Assistance Administrative Fund		
60-6313 (052522)	AFDC - Federal Administrative Assistance	$10,595	
60-6346 (052548)	Food Stamp Program - Federal Aid	447	
49-4900 (840041)	Revenue Transfer out to 01-001 General Fund		$11,042
	Total Welfare and Assistance Administration Fund	$11,042	$11,042

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

(See File 101-83-147 for departmental approvals.)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors NELDER

Absent: Supervisors BRITT; RENNE

WARD

[signature] Clerk

101-83-147.1
File No.

APR 16 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors NELDER

Absent: Supervisors BRITT; KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-149

ORDINANCE NO. 16384

RO #4294 SA #161

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $9000.00 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-1984

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1983-1984 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$9,000	
34-00-00	Human Rights Commission		
10-1001 (340018)	Professional and Special Services		$9,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Toomey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAR 13 1984

Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE WARD

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-149
File No.

APR 16 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-151

ORDINANCE NO. 164-84

IO #4296 SA #206

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $430,000 FOR SERVICES OF CITY ATTORNEY, PUBLIC WORKS - ENGINEERING FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$430,000	
90-06-00	Public Works - Engineering		
30-3020 (778019)	Services of Other Departments- City Attorney		$430,000
Proj. 050-01			

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does thereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: James G. Dennis
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 13 1984

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP NELDER

Absent: Supervisors BRITT RENNE WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-151
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP NELDER

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-152

ORDINANCE NO. 165-84

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

RO 4320 SR #234

APPROPRIATING $277,000 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - WATER DEPARTMENT, MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$277,000	
25-04-07	Mayor's Office of Housing and Economic Development		
30-3150 (325241)	Services of Other Department-Water Department		$277,000

~~Section 2. In adopting this ordinance the Board of Supervisors found that there is an emergency within the meaning of San Francisco Charter Sec. 2.301 requiring its immediate adoption. This appropriation is necessary to provide money to fund the extension and enlargement of an existing inadequate eight-inch water main. The San Francisco Fire Department has declared that the existing water main does not provide adequate water pressure to protect structures on the south side of Potrero Hill adjacent to six-hundred units of Public Housing. The immediate adoption of this ordinance is needed for the protection and safety of people in that housing and to protect new housing as well.~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Mark Kat
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAR 26 1984
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 10 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisor KOPP

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-152
File No.

APR 18 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO 102-83-30

ORDINANCE NO. 166-84

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 18

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF ONE POSITION FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and sections of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	83 Public Health-Central Office	
		DP/DV/SC:	830100 Administration	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.	
1 abolished	0	2897	A Deputy Director for Program Planning, Dev, Res, Eval	Z $2354-2862
1 added	1	2821	A Director of Health Program Planning. . .	J,Z 1690-2053

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By:

No. 18

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors: BRITT, RENNE, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-30 APR 16 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 102-83-38 ORDINANCE NO. 167-84

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 40

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF 27 POSITIONS IN THE DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 45	Social Services	
		DP/DV/SC: 450000	Social Services	
		Fund: 01001	General Fund	
		Object: 001	Regular Misc.	
~~Y/xxxx~~	~~N~~	~~Y131 A Administrative Aide Coordinator 2/SC~~		~~$1163-1407~~
3 added	158	1426 A	Senior Clerk Typist.	596-721
21 added	247	2905 A	Senior Eligibility Worker.	681-822
3 added	62	2907 A	Eligibility Worker Supervisor.	777-938

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Wolak
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas A. Doremus
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 40

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, RENNE, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-38 APR 16 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 42-84-17 ORDINANCE NO. 168-84

(Street Fairs)

AMENDING SECTION 2.71 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO REQUIRE THAT INSURANCE BE FURNISHED FOR STREET FAIRS IN COMMERCIAL AREAS, WITH WAIVERS AVAILABLE FOR FIRST AMENDMENT ACTIVITIES.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 2.71 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 2.71 CONDITIONS. Any permission for the temporary use or occupancy of a public street authorized by the Board of Supervisors shall be subject to the following conditions:

(a) No objects of any nature shall be placed or maintained within 15 feet of any fire hydrant or within five feet of any fire alarm box or police call box.

(b) No objects of any nature shall be placed or maintained within any intersection or pedestrian crosswalk; nor shall any vehicles be permitted to be parked in such areas.

(c) A continuous passageway in the roadway at least 14 feet in width shall be maintained at all times during the period of such use or occupancy for the use of emergency vehicles.

(d) No objects of any nature shall be fastened to or erected over the the surface of the street or sidewalk, and no object shall be affixed to any pole or standard upon any street or sidewalk, without prior written consent of the Director of Public Works.

(e) Painting upon any street or sidewalk surface shall be permitted only if a washable paint is used.

(f) Adequate illumination of the area shall be maintained at all times such illumination is appropriate.

(g) Official traffic control devices and traffic signal controllers shall not be covered or blocked at any time during the period of such use or occupancy.

(h) Street barricades, to be obtained from the Police Department, shall be placed in accordance with the directions of the Police Department; shall be maintained in said locations at all times during the period of such use or occupancy; and shall be returned to the Police Department upon termination of the period of said use or occupancy.

(i) All manhole covers and valve box covers shall be kept clear of any fixed object.

(j) All streets and sidewalks within the area for which such permission is granted shall be kept clean and free from dirt and debris at all times during the period of such temporary use or occupancy and all materials and equipment used in connection with said temporary use and occupancy shall be removed therefrom within 24 hours of the termination of the period of such use or occupancy. The Director of Public Works shall report any violations of this subsection to the Board of Supervisors.

(k) Applications for permission to hold a street fair on a predominantly commercial street shall be accompanied by evidence of insurance coverage as hereinafter set forth. For purposes of this subsection, a "predominantly commercial street" shall mean a street block on which at least 50% of front footage of private property on the ground floor of the street is used for commercial purposes. A street block shall be measured from street intersection to street intersection, but shall not include any alley intersection.

Applicants shall maintain in force, during the full term of the permit, insurance as follows:

(1) General Liability Insurance with limits not less than $500,000.00 each occurrence Combined Single Limit Bodily Injury and Property Damage, including Contractual Liability, Personal Injury, Broadform Property Damage, Products and Completed Operations Coverages;

(2) If any vehicles will be operated by the applicant in connection with street fair activities under the permit, Automobile Liability Insurance with limits not less than $500,000.00 each occurrence Combined Single Limit Bodily Injury and Property Damage, including owned, non-owned and hired auto coverages, as applicable; and

(3) If the applicant has employees, Workers' Compensation with Employers' Liability limits not less than $500,000.00 each accident.

General Liability and Automobile Liability Insurance policies shall be endorsed to provide the following:

(1) Name as additional insureds the City and County of San Francisco, its officers, agents and employees.

(2) That such policies are primary insurance to any other insurance available to the Additional Insureds with respect to any claims arising out of activities under the permit, and that insurance applies separately to each insured against whom claim is made or suit is brought.

Certificates of insurance, in format and with insurers

satisfactory to the City evidencing all applicable coverages shall be furnished to the City ten days prior to issuance of the permit and before commencing any operations under the permit, with complete copies of policies to be furnished to the City upon request.

The insurance requirement of this subsection shall be waived by the Board of Supervisors if the applicant certifies in writing that (1) the purpose of the street fair is First Amendment expression and that (2) the cost of obtaining insurance is so financially burdensome that it would constitute an unreasonable prior restraint on the right of First Amendment expression, or that it has been impossible for the applicant to obtain insurance coverage.

((k)) (l) Such further conditions as may be imposed by the Department of Public Works after inspection of the area involved.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

SUPERVISOR HONGISTO

9248C ds

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, ~~RENNE~~, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

428417 APR 18 1984

File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-16 ORDINANCE NO. 169-84

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT] RO #4285

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ALEJANDRO SALOMON AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "ALEJANDRO SALOMON v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., Superior Court No. 795-622, by the payment of $6,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: STEVEN A. DIAZ
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C Farrell
Controller

MAR 13 1984

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: JEFFREY LEE, Director

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-16
File No.

APR 18 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-17

ORDINANCE NO. 170-84

RO #4298

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MABEL JUNE ONG, ET AL, V CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., AND RELATED ACTIONS FOR PAYMENT TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF $265,000 AND CONVEYANCE TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF LOTS 28 THROUGH 32, BLOCK NO. 2686, ON WARREN DRIVE, SAN FRANCISCO.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Mabel June Ong v. City and County of San Francisco, et al., Superior Court action No. 759-461 and related actions by the payment to the City and County of San Francisco of $265,000 and conveyance to the City and County of San Francisco of lots 28 through 32, Block No. 2686, on Warren Drive, San Francisco.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: Victoria Hekel
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: Jeffrey Lee
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

N/R
Controller

APPROVED:

Robert Gamble
Chief Administrative Officer

MAR 15 1984

8946C:ls

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, RENNE, SILVER, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-17 File No.

APR 18 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO 45-84-19

ORDINANCE NO. 171-84

RO # 4297

1 AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF BOBBY W. HOPSON AGAINST
2 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., FOR $40,000.00.
3
4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of
5 San Francisco:
6
7 Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to
8 settle Superior Court Action No. 793-306 entitled BOBBY W. HOPSON
9 AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., by the
10 payment of $40,000.00.
11
12 APPROVED AS TO FORM
13 AND RECOMMENDED:
14 GROVER ALCOST, City Attorney
15
16 By ROGER W. HACKLEY
17 Deputy City Attorney
18
19 FUNDS AVAILABLE:
20 John C. Farrell
21 Controller
22 MAR 15 1984
23 8696C
24 dlr

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By Cornelius P. Murphy
CORNELIUS P. MURPHY
Chief of Police

APPROVED:

Willie E. Frazier
Secretary of the
Police Commission

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, RENNE, SILVER, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

45-84-19 APR 18 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-84-20

ORDINANCE NO. 172-84
RO #4304

(SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF STEPHEN PERELSON V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., FOR $30,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Stephen Perelson v. City and County of San Francisco, et al., Superior Court action No. 795-848 by the payment of $30,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By: Victoria Hobel
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

By: Michael Hennessey
MICHAEL HENNESSEY
SHERIFF

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138 1450

John C Farrell
Controller

MAR 20 1984

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, ~~Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors: BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD

John L. Taylor
Clerk

45-84-20
File No.

APR 18 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 46-84-3 ORDINANCE NO. 173-84

RO #4319

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION ENTITLED "CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO vs. JERRY DAL BOZZO, BRANDY MARTS, COIT, INC., FEMME D' AFFAIRES, LTD., BRET HARTE TERRACE," SUPERIOR COURT NO. 804-491, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF A SECURITY AND PLEDGE AGREEMENT, NOTE AND GUARANTY, APPROVING SAME, AS WELL AS AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF SAID DOCUMENTS BY THE CITY ATTORNEY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Charter Section 3.401, the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO v. JERRY DAL BOZZO, BRANDY MARTS, COIT, INC., FEMME D' AFFAIRES, LTD., BRET HARTE TERRACE, SUPERIOR COURT NO. 804-491, accordance with the terms and conditions of a proposed Security and Pledge Agreement, Note and Guaranty (the "Settlement Documents"), copies of which are on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. 46-84-3. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to enter into and execute the Settlement Documents copies of which are on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. 46-84-3, with appropriate modifications of form consistent with and in implementation of the substance of said documents.

The City Attorney, Mayor and the Director of Property are also authorized to execute any documents necessary or incidental to the implementation of the substance of the Settlement Documents.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: STEVEN A. DIAZ
Assistant Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By: WALLACE WORTMAN,
Director of Property

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

46-84-3 APR 18 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher,~~ Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 47-84-3 ORDINANCE NO. 174-84

(Garage Lease)

AWARDING OF MOSCONE CENTER GARAGE LEASE TO GOLDEN GATE PARKING CO.

WHEREAS, The Director of Property, pursuant to Resolution 976-83 adopted by the Board of Supervisors on November 21, 1983 and approved by the Mayor on November 28, 1983, called for and on February 10, 1984 received bids to lease the Moscone Center Garage; and

WHEREAS, Golden Gate Parking Co. offered to lease the facility at a rental of 60.647% of the gross revenue, which bid exceeded all other bids;

Be It Ordained by The People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: The lease of the Moscone Center Garage is hereby awarded to Golden Gate Parking Co.

Section 2. All other bids are hereby rejected.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal coporation.

Section 4. The form of said lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

RECOMMENDED:

Wallace Wortman
Director of Property

PARKING AUTHORITY

By Ray Lorry
Acting Director

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [illegible]
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

47-84-3 APR 18 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT MAHER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

(Lease of Property)

APPROVING THE LEASE BETWEEN JMC ASSOCIATES, A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the lease between JMC Associates, a limited partnership, and the City and County of San Francisco, operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission. A copy of said lease is contained in the Board of Supervisors' file number 65-84-6.

Approved as to form:
George Agnost, City Attorney

By Connie M. Rutherford
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT KOPP RENNE SILVER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

65-84-6
File No.

APR 18 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, ~~Maher~~, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-84-3.2 ORDINANCE NO. 176-84

(Zoning Change, 83.411EZC)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FROM AN RH-3 (HOUSE, THREE-FAMILY) DISTRICT TO AN RM-1 (MIXED RESIDENTIAL, LOW DENSITY) DISTRICT FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3200 ARMY STREET, THE ENTIRE BLOCK SURROUNDED BY ARMY, FOLSOM, SHOTWELL AND 26TH STREETS, ALL OF LOT 1 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 6572.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopting the final negative declaration as its own, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Entire block surrounded by Army, Folsom, Shotwell and 26th Streets; being all of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 6572; and adjacent street areas to their centerline.	RH-3 (House, Three-Family)	RM-1 (Mixed Residential, Low Density)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Dean L. Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 9 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, ~~Renne~~, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors BRITT, NELDER, WALKER

Absent: Supervisors RENNE, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

36-84-32 File No.

APR 18 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors NELDER, WALKER

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP, WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-91 ORDINANCE NO. 177-84

RO #4198 SA #112

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $30,000 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTS, RECREATION AND PARK - CANDLESTICK PARK FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-222	Candlestick Park Fund		
G.L. 490 (002196)	Unappropriated Revenue	$30,000	
42-03-08	Recreation and Park - Candlestick Park		
30-3890 (375782)	Services of Other Departments - Miscellaneous		$30,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Dorney
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: DEC 28 1983
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
April 16, 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt, Hongisto~~, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors Hongisto

Excused: Kopp

Absent: Supervisors Britt Silver

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-91 File No.

APR 26 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

EXCUSED KOPP

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

SA 58 84 3:33

Amended in Cmte. 4/11/84.
AS AMENDED IN BD. 4/16/84

FILE NO. 101-83-153 ORDINANCE NO. 178-84

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING) RO #4303 SA #188

4/11/84 Bls

APPROPRIATING ~~$46,320~~ ~~$113,872~~ $100,019 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS TEMPORARY SALARIES, OVERTIME AND EQUIPMENT PURCHASE AND RESCINDING $10,800 FROM DATA/WORD PROCESSING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, REGISTRAR OF VOTERS FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION I. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1983 - 1984 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained	$89,219 ~~$35,528~~	
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	~~$103,072~~	
80-00-00	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS		
10-1050 (430017)	Data/Word Processing Professional Services	10,800	$13,779
01-0010	Permanent Salaries Miscellaneous		~~$26,899~~ ~~13,176~~
0200	Temporary Salaries		~~57,356~~ 57,356 ~~8,573~~
0100	Overtime		~~18,817~~ 18,084
22-2299	Equipment Others		10,800
	Total	100,019 ~~$46,320~~ ~~$113,872~~	100,019 ~~$46,320~~ ~~$113,872~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAR 20 1984

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 2 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp,~~ Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder,~~ Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, NELDER

[signature] Clerk

101-83-153
File No.

APR 26 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker,~~ Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

[signature]
Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 4/11/84

FILE NO 101-83-154

ORDINANCE NO. 179-84

RO #4302 SA #145

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

11/84 APPROPRIATING ~~$5,557~~ $4,322 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND RESCINDING ~~$5,557~~ $4,322 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS FOR APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS FOR CREATION OF ONE (1) POSITION, FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
31-00-00	Fire Department		
11/84 01-0010 (530030)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	$4,322 ~~$5,557~~	$4,322 ~~$5,557~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for one (1) position created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-83-41) as follows:

Fund	General Fund	
Department	Fire Department	
Classification	Rate of Pay	Create
1872 Programmer Analyst	882 B 1067	1

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAR 15 1984

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
[signature]
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~BRITT~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, WALKER ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-154 File No.

APR 26 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~ Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

[signature] Mayor

Amended in Cmte. 4/11/84.

FILE NO. 101-83-157

ORDINANCE NO. 180-84

RO #4312 SA #204

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

/11/84 ls APPROPRIATING ~~$14,500~~ $175,000 FOR ~~SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - BUILDING REPAIR~~ Capital Project Budget (Hidden Valley Ranch Repair), JUVENILE COURT - ADMINISTRATION FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
/11/84 ls Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$175,000 ~~$ 14,500~~	
12-00-00	Juvenile Court		
30-2030 ~~30-3180~~ (319574)	~~Services of Other Departments - Building Repair~~ Capital Project Budget Hidden Valley Ranch Repair		$175,000 ~~$ 14,500~~
Proj._____			

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Demery
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
MAR 23 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~BRITT~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, WALKER ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors.~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WARD

John L. Taylor
Clerk

101-83-157
File No.

APR 26 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 102-83-41 ORDINANCE NO. 181-84

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 41

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF ONE NEW POSITION FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	31 Fire	
		DP/DV/SC:	310300 General	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.	
1 added	1	1872 N	Programmer Analyst.	$882-1067

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 41

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-41 APR 26 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 65-84-5 ORDINANCE NO. 182-84

(Ground Lease)

APPROVING AWARD OF GROUND LEASE BY PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION FOR A TERM OF MORE THAN TEN YEARS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Award by the Public Utilities Commission on November 8, 1983, to ~~Orchard Supply Hardware~~ Grace Retail Corporation, a Delaware corporation, as the highest, qualified bidder, of a ground lease of 4.757 acres of Water Department land in Millbrae, California, for a term in excess of ten years and having anticipated revenue to the City and County of San Francisco of $1 million or more, is hereby approved.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Utilities General Counsel

RECOMMENDED:
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

By _____
General Manager of Public Utilities

9116C

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~BRITT~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, WALKER ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

65-84-5 File No.

APR 26 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO 82-842 ORDINANCE NO. 183-84

(Sale of Property)

4/16/84 al

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF DISPOSITION AGREEMENT, AS AMENDED, FOR SALE OF AIR RIGHTS AND EASEMENTS TO THE MISSION HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, INC., A CALIFORNIA NONPROFIT CORPORATION, FOR PURPOSES OF CONSTRUCTING LOW AND VERY LOW INCOME RENTAL HOUSING.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco owns the Air Rights and Easements designated as those Air Rights and Easements over Lot 84, Block 3569.

Section 2. The Mission Housing Development Corporation, Inc. proposes that the property be developed with low and very low income rental housing with financing assistance from the Community Development Block Grant Program and the California Department of Housing and Community Development.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 609-83 adopted the final negative declaration for the project finding and determining that reclassification of the property would have no significant impact on the environment.

Section 4. The City and County of San Francisco has approved a negative declaration, a rezoning of the site from P to RC-3 and a conditional use permit (planned unit development designation for the development plan).

Section 5. An appraisal prepared by the Department of Real Estate has determined that the project is not feasible if any value is placed on the Air Rights and Easements.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors approved Resolution No. 806-83 requesting the Real Estate Department and the City Attorney to enter into negotiations for the lease or sale of the Site.

Section 7. The Parking Authority under Resolution No. 949 Development Corporation, Inc.

Section 8. The Board hereby finds the execution of the Disposition Agreement for Air Rights and Easements above the public parking facility at 16th and Hoff Streets between the City and County of San Francisco and the Mission Housing Development Corporation, Inc. and the agreements which are attached thereto, copies of which are on file with the Clerk of the Board; and the sale of said property to the Mission Housing Development Corporation, Inc., for $1.00 for development in accordance with said Agreement is for a public purpose and will promote the general welfare of the community.

4/16/84 al

Section 9. The Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreements and such other agreements as may be required for the development of the project.

4/16/84 al

Section 10. In accordance with said Disposition Agreement, as amended, the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, and the Director of Property shall deliver a Grant Deed to the Mission Housing Development Corporation, Inc. for the parcels described in Exhibit "A" to the Disposition Agreement.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors: BRITT, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors

Absent: Supervisor WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

82-842 File No.

APR 26 1984 Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 25 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO 197-84 ORDINANCE NO. 184-84

(DRUG ABUSE)

AMENDING CHAPTER 15 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 15.9-1 THERETO TO ESTABLISH THE LOCAL SUPRESSION OF DRUG ABUSE IN SCHOOLS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Note: This material is actively new

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 15 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 15.9-1 thereto reading as follows:

Sec. 15.9-1 Local Suppression of Drug Abuse in Schools Advisory Committee.

(a) Establishment of Local Suppression of Drug Abuse in Schools Advisory Committee. Pursuant to Sections 13860 through 13863, inclusive, of the Penal Code, there is hereby created an advisory committee of 11 members known as the Local Supression of Drug Abuse in Schools Advisory Committee (hereinafter, "committee,") who shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

(b) Purpose

The purpose of the committee is to develop and promote increased efforts by local law enforcement agencies, working in conjunction with school districts and county drug offices to suppress trafficking and prevent drug abuse among school age children through the development of innovative programs by schools, drug abuse agencies and local law enforcement agencies.

The executive director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning is authorized to allocate and award funds to local law enforcement agencies and public schools with joint drug abuse prevention and drug trafficking suppression programs. The committee will consult with the executive director concerning the program.

(c) Composition. Members of the committee shall have a professional interest in or a personal commitment to preventing drug abuse among school age children. Membership shall include representatives from various social, economic and occupational groups and shall be broadly representative of the demographic characteristics of San Francisco. Appointments to the committee shall reflect public policy with regard to affirmative action selection of Third World and other appropriate special citizen groups. Membership shall be drawn from all areas of the city. The committee shall be composed of, at a minimum, the following:

Local law enforcement executives.

School district executives.

School site staff which includes administrators, teachers, or other credentialed personnel.

Parents.

Students.

School peace officers.

County drug program administrators designated pursuant to Section 11962 of the Health and Safety Code.

Drug prevention program executives.

(d) Restrictions on Membership

(1) The committee shall not include any person or his or her spouse who is a member of the Board of Supervisors or a person on the staff of a member of the Board of Supervisors.

(2) The committee shall not include in excess of 33 1/3 percent of its membership any person or his or her spouse who is a member of a board of directors or advisory body or employee of any city and county operated or city and county contract provider of drug services, or of any program approved pursuant to

Section 11876 of the California Health and Safety Code (methadone program).

(e) Term of Membership

All members shall be appointed to serve 2-year terms. Any vacancy occuring during a term shall be filled for the unexpired term. Commencing with the date upon which the first members take office, the committee shall elect a chairperson from among its members. Members shall serve without compensation.

(f) Frequency and Conduct of Meetings. The committee shall meet at least quarterly and may meet at such other times as may be deemed necessary by the chair or the county's Drug Program Administrator. Meetings of the committee shall be open to the public and subject to the provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act, Sections 54950, et seq., of the California Government Code.

(g) Duties. The committee shall coordinate its efforts, where appropriate, with San Francisco's other advisory boards concerned with drug problems and shall perform the duties specified in Sections 13860 through 13863, inclusive, of the Penal Code.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Daniel E. Collins
Deputy City Attorney

9211C ds

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 16 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~[illegible]~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

197-84
File No.

APR 26 1984
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 113-83-3

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
AS AMENDED IN
COMMITTEE 4/17/84 **ORDINANCE NO.** 115-84

[Chinatown-North Beach Residential Hotel Unit Moratorium]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER 41B THERETO, IMPOSING A MORATORIUM FOR TWELVE MONTHS ON PERMITS TO CONVERT RESIDENTIAL HOTEL UNITS IN THE CHINATOWN-NORTH BEACH AREA, PROHIBITING CONVERSION OF UNITS, ESTABLISHING A CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMITTEE, PROHIBITING PERMITS FOR SITES OF UNLAWFUL DEMOLITION INCLUDING DEMOLITION ON OR AFTER NOVEMBER 15, 1983, AND ESTABLISHING PENALTIES.

Note: Additions are not underlined since all the provisions are new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Chapter 41B thereto to read as follows:

Sec. 41B.1. Title

This ordinance shall be known as the Chinatown-North Beach Moratorium on Residential Hotel Unit Conversion, Demolition, and Change in Use Ordinance.

Sec. 41B.2. Findings

The Board of Supervisors finds and declares as follows:

(a) There is a severe shortage of decent, safe, sanitary, and affordable rental housing in the Chinatown-North Beach area.

(b) A housing emergency exists in Chinatown-North Beach requiring immediate legislative action by the Board of Supervisors.

(c) Residential hotel units are a significant source of low-income housing stock and form a large proportion of the housing in the Chinatown-North Beach area. More than 10,000 people reside in residential hotel units in said area, most of whom are low-income, minority, elderly, or disabled. These are the most frail and the highest-need groups of San Francisco, and are least able to cope with displacement and threats of displacement in San Francisco's housing market.

(d) Residential hotel tenants in Chinatown have special needs. They are mostly older Chinese with single-language skills. Over half (5,790) of the Chinatown Census Area population speaks English not well or not at all. The population has limited income and is dependent on walking or buses to get to their daily activities. More than 75 percent of the residents have no automobile and need to live in an area which is close to transportation, shops and services which can meet their non-English speaking needs.

(e) The demand for office and other commercial space in the city impacts the Chinatown-North Beach area with particular severity, since said area is adjacent to the Financial District (C-3-O district) and because of the financial and physical ease with which structures in Chinatown-North Beach can be converted or demolished. This demand, combined with the development restraints imposed on the adjacent downtown area by both the interim controls and proposed permanent controls of the Downtown Plan increases the pressure on the existing scarce supply of affordable rental space in the Chinatown-North Beach area. This pressure encourages conversion of residential hotel units to commercial and office uses.

(f) The South of Market, North of Market and Chinatown-North Beach neighborhoods have two-thirds of the City's residential hotels, but the two other neighborhoods have controls or proposed controls in addition to the controls of the

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance which serve as disincentives to the conversion or demolition of residential units. The North of Market has zoning amendments pending which would impose additional requirements for conversion to non-residential uses. The South of Market has had in place since December 1983 an Interim South of Market Industrial and Housing Conservation Special Use District which limits the floor area ratio for office development and increases the parking requirements over what previously had been in effect for office development. The provisions of the Special Use District are a disincentive to the conversion or demolition of existing development for office use.

(g) The existing Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance by itself is not adequate to deal with the problems of conversion of Chinatown-North Beach residential hotel units into office and commercial uses, to protect the resident tenants, or to ensure one-for-one replacement housing in the Chinatown-North Beach area. There are approximately 4,722 residential hotel units in the Chinatown-North Beach area as of September, 1982; this number represented a decrease of a minimum of 418 such units in the short period between 1980 and 1982, despite the existence of the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance. This decrease was caused by vacation, conversion, or demolition of residential hotel units, particularly for office and other commercial space. At least 1,000 residential hotel units will soon be permanently converted to non-residential uses, not because of a lack of need by residents, but because of the higher profits attendant to conversion. The ordinance's provision permitting payment of partial replacement costs or placing actual replacement units on

another site is virtually ineffective in Chinatown where the land is so valuable for office and commercial use. The commercial zoning of Chinatown and Chinatown's proximity to downtown has increased the land costs to as much as $200 a square foot in some recent transactions.

(h) At the public meetings regarding the performance of the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance, much of the concern raised regarding the tourist season exception focused on the lack of standards for purposes of monitoring and enforcement and the possibility of unlawful eviction of permanent residents in anticipation that their rooms may be used for tourists in the summer season. The Democratic Convention, which is going to be held in San Francisco in the summer of 1984, increases the incentive for unlawful evictions to accomodate the anticipated increased tourist trade.

(i) The Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance currently in effect is now the subject of review by members of the Board of Supervisors, City departments charged with implementation and review of the law, and residents, but adoption of any amendments will take several months to a year. The elderly, disabled, minority, and low-income residential hotel unit dwellers of Chinatown-North Beach will suffer great hardship if conversion of residential hotel units is allowed to continue while an effective legislative solution is found to the housing problems in that area of the City. There is already great concern and alarm among citizens residing or working in the Chinatown-North Beach area, community organizations, and other groups over the actual and threatened removal of residential hotel units from the rental market, particularly, the conversion to office uses with the resulting displacement of existing

residential units, neighborhood business and services, and other community-oriented organizations.

(j) A moratorium is necessary to provide a reasonable period of time for the study required by Section 41B.3 of this ordinance and for implementation of the recommendations of such study, to prevent the frustration of the results of said study by any demolition, conversion, or change of use which may occur prior to the implementation of the recommendations and which may be in conflict with the legislative scheme which is ultimately adopted to address housing problems in the Chinatown-North Beach area, and to protect the public safety, health, and welfare.

(k) A moratorium is also necessary in order for the Chinatown-North Beach area to benefit fully from the ongoing Chinatown Planning and Zoning Study commenced by the Department of City Planning in December 1983. This study is scheduled to result in rezoning proposals within a 12-month period. During the study, however, the district is vulnerable to escalation of conversion and demolition of residential hotel units by project sponsors attempting to implement projects prior to the effective date of any further permanent controls for the area.

(l) The proposed moratorium is consistent with the following provisions of the Residence Element of the Master Plan:

(a) Policy 6 of Objective 1 discourages development of new housing on sites containing existing housing worthy of retention. Objective 3, Policy 1 discourages the demolition of existing housing. The moratorium would temporarily prevent conversion and demolition of Chinatown's residential hotels.

///

///

(b) Objective 5 addresses the issue of affordability and encourages the provision of housing affordable for all income groups, particularly low-income households. The Chinatown residential hotel units generally rent at very low-income levels as established in Section 1309(e) and (f) of the San Francisco Subdivision Code.

(c) Policy 6 of Objective 7 says that adequate rental housing opportunities should be provided. Residential hotel units are approximately 53 percent of the residential units in Chinatown. About 87 percent of the population of Chinatown are renters, a larger proportion than the citywide average of 62 percent.

(d) Objective 8 seeks to avoid the hardships imposed by displacement. The Chinatown residential hotel population of elderly non-English speaking individuals is particularly vulnerable to displacement, especially if displacement has to be outside of the Chinatown area.

Sec. 41B.3 Creation of Citizens' Advisory Committee

There is hereby established for a period of twelve months a citizens' advisory committee to study problems relating to the shortage of rental housing in the Chinatown-North Beach area and the conversion of residential hotel units to other uses. The committee shall recommend to the Board of Superviosors legislative amendments designed to insure the preservation of residential hotel units for rental housing purposes in the Chinatown-North Beach area.

///

This committee shall be known as the Chinatown-North Beach Housing Advisory Committee and shall consist of 13 voting members. Each member of the Board of Supervisors shall appoint one member; the Mayor shall appoint one member; and the Chief Administrative Officer shall appoint one member. The committee members shall serve without compensation. The committee shall establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedures and shall meet when determined necessary by the committee. No monies from the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco shall be either appropriated to or expended by the committee.

Sec. 41B.4 Definitions

(a) "Chinatown-North Beach area" shall mean that area bounded on the north by the south side of Vallejo Street to the east side of Montgomery Street to the south side of Green Street to the west side of Sansome Street to the north side of Washington Street to the west side of Montgomery Street to the north side of Sacramento Street to the west side of Kearny Street to the north side of Sutter Street to the east side of Powell Street to the south side of Bush Street to the east side of Stockton Street to the north side of Sacramento Street to the east side of Powell Street to the north side of Clay Street to the east side of Mason Street to the south side of Vallejo Street, which area emcompasses the following Assessor's blocks and, where indicated, lots: 148, 147, 146, 145, 144, 134, 165/10, 208, 227, 269/5, 288/25, 294/21, 272/8, 134, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 224, 225, 226, 227, 241, 242, 257, 258, 270, 271, 285, 286, 287.

(b) "Residential hotel unit" shall mean any guest room as defined in Section 203.7 of Chapter XII, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Housing Code) which was occupied by a permanent resident on September 23, 1979 and which is located in a building which contains five or more additional guest rooms unless said unit has been exempted from the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance pursuant to Sections 41.5 and 41.6 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. "Residential hotel unit" shall also mean any guest room which has been designated as a residential unit pursuant to Sections 41.6 or 41.7 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

(c) "Owner of residential hotel unit" shall mean any person or legal entity holding an ownership interest in a residential hotel unit or a building which contains a residential hotel unit.

(d) "Operator of a residential hotel unit" shall mean any person, including but not limited to a lessee, who is responsible for the day-to-day operation of a residential hotel unit.

Sec. 41B.5. Moratorium on Approval of Permit Applications to Change the Use of Residential Hotel Units

(a) For the duration of this ordinance, no department may accept or act upon:

(1) any application filed pursuant to Chapter 41 of the San Francisco Administrative Code for a permit to convert any residential hotel unit to other use; or

(2) any application for a permit for demolition of a structure, filed pursuant to Part II, Chapter I of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code), when such activity would cause the demolition of any residential hotel unit or prevent the use of

any residential hotel unit in the Chinatown-North Beach area; or

(3) any application for any permit required by Section 301.A of Part II, Chapter I of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) when the proposed activity will cause the conversion of any residential hotel unit to any other use, including, but not limited to, an apartment.

(b) Nothing herein shall apply to the demolition of residential hotel units declared to be a public nuisance.

Sec. 41B.6 Prohibition Against Conversion

(a) No owner or operator of a residential hotel unit in the Chinatown-North Beach area shall convert such unit to any other use.

(b) To convert a residential hotel unit to any other use shall mean to

(1) demolish any residential hotel unit, except pursuant to an order of abatement issued by the Director of the Department of Public Works or pursuant to an order of the Court; or

(2) allow any residential hotel unit to be used for commercial office use or for any other purpose inconsistent with its use as a guest room, as defined in Housing Code Section 203.7, when the owner allows such use pursuant to a rental or lease agreement or any other agreement pursuant to which such use is allowed in return for money, goods, services or any other compensation; or

///

(3) rent a residential hotel unit for a daily term of tenancy.

Sec. 41B.7 Injunctive Relief

The City and County of San Francisco or any interested party may commence an action to enjoin unlawful conversion of a residential hotel unit prohibited by Section 41B.6 of this ordinance. An interested party is (1) a tenant of any residential hotel unit which it is contended an owner or operator is or will be unlawfully converting or his or her authorized representative; (2) a person who had been a tenant in a building which contains a residential hotel unit which it is contended an owner or operator is or will be unlawfully converting or his or her authorized representative; or (3) any aggrieved person or organization.

Sec. 41B.8 Criminal Penalty

Any person who converts any residential hotel unit to any other use in violation of Section 41B.6 of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor.

Sec. 41B.9 Civil Action

Any person who has been deprived of the use of a residential hotel unit because of the violation of this ordinance may recover attorneys' fees and money damages of not less than three times actual damages, and whatever other relief that a court of competent jurisdiction deems appropriate.

Sec. 41B.10 Action on Permits After Unlawful Demolition

(a) If a building containing residential hotel units is demolished in whole or in part on or after November 15, 1983 in violation of San Francisco Administrative Code Section 41.16 or Section 301.A of Part II, Chapter I of the San Francisco Municipal Code

(Building Code) or Section 41B.6 of this ordinance, any application by any person for a permit to do work on the site where the building had been located which is filed pursuant to Section 301.A of Part II, Chapter I of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) shall be denied so long as this ordinance remains in effect, except as provided in subsection (b).

(b) If the unlawful demolition occurred after the effective date of this ordinance, any such application shall be denied. If the unlawful demolition occurred during the period of time beginning November 15, 1983 and ending on the effective date of this ordinance, any such application shall be denied unless, at the time such application is made, the person or persons with an ownership interest in the site, or real property on the site, which is the subject of the application is or are different from the person or persons who had an ownership interest in the site, or real property on the site, at the time of the unlawful demolition; provided, however, that an application shall be denied even under those circumstances if any of the new owner or owners had actual notice prior to acquiring an ownership interest that an unlawful demolition had occurred and had actual notice of the substance of the provisions of this Section requiring denial of permit applications for sites of unlawful demolition. Owners shall be deemed "different" only if all the persons and entitites with an ownership

interest at the time of application had no ownership interest at the time of unlawful demolition.

(c) If an application is so denied and no appeal from the denial is taken to the Board of Permit Appeals, or if an appeal is taken and the Board of Permit Appeals concurs in the determination that the application be denied, that application, or any for a like permit covering the same site, may not be renewed, nor shall such application be heard by the officer or entity to which the original application was made, so long as this ordiance remains in effect, and there shall be no appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals for failure or refusal to hear any such application.

Sec. 41B.11 Duration of Ordinance

This ordinance shall expire twelve months after its effective date unless it is hereafter amended by action of the Board of Supervisors.

Sec. 41B.12 Declaration of Intent

It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors that any act by any person with respect to any residential hotel unit in the Chinatown-North Beach area which constitutes a violation of the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance is subject to any penalty authorized by the provisions of that ordinance and that such penalty may be imposed in addition to any penalty imposed for violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Sec. 41B.13 Severability

If any sentence, clause section, provision or other part of this chapter, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be unconstitutional or to be otherwise

invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect or impair any of the remaining sentences, clauses, sections, provisions or parts of this Chapter, or its effect on other persons or in other circumstances. It is hereby declared to be the intention of the Board of Supervisors that the provisions of this Chapter would have been adopted had such unconstitutional, illegal or invalid sentence, clause, section provision or part of this Chapter not been included herein and the provisions of this Chapter are declared to be severable.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

0774D
4/19/84

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

113-83-3
File No.

MAY 4 1984
Approved

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE
4/17/84

FILE NO. 115-83-2 ORDINANCE NO. 86-84

(Zoning) 83.598ET

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 183 LIMITING THE ABANDONMENT PERIOD FOR NONCONFORMING MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS TO SIX MONTHS AND ADDING SECTION 218.1 THERETO RESTRICTING THE LOCATION OF MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS.

Note: Additions are indicated by underlining.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 183 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 183. NONCONFORMING USES: DISCONTINUANCE AND ABANDONMENT. Whenever a nonconforming use has been changed to a conforming use, or discontinued for a continuous period of three years, or whenever there is otherwise evident a clear intent on the part of the owner to abandon a nonconforming use, such use shall not after being so changed, discontinued or abandoned be re-established, and the use of the property thereafter shall be in conformity with the use limitations of this Code for the district in which the property is located. Where no enclosed building is involved, discontinuance of a nonconforming use for a period of six months shall constitute abandonment. Where a massage establishment is nonconforming for the reason that it is within 1,000 feet of another such establishment under Section 218.1 of this Code, discontinuance for a continuous period of six months or change to a conforming use shall constitute abandonment.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 218.1 thereto, to read as follows:

Sec. 218.1 MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENTS.

Massage establishments, as defined by Section 2700 of Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code), provided

C-1	C-2	C-3-O	C-3-R	C-3-G	C-3-S	C-M	M-1	M-2
P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P

that the use is so located that the premises upon which it is conducted are not less than 1,000 feet from the premises of any other massage establishment; except that such proviso shall not apply where massage services are incidental to the institutional uses permitted in Sections 217(a) through (c) or to the use by an individual member of the facilities of a health club, gymnasium or other facility with a regular membership which health club, gymnasium or other facility is used primarily for instruction and training in body building, exercising, reducing, sports, dancing or similar physical activities.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy~~, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

MAY 4 1984
Approved 1984
Mayor

115-83-2
File No.

FILE NO. 393-83-2 ORDINANCE NO. 187-84

(Street Vacation-Sale)

ORDERING VACATION OF A PORTION OF LANE STREET AND A PORTION OF LE CONTE AVENUE, EAST OF SAN BRUNO AVENUE, AND SALE TO THE OWNERS OF THE ABUTTING PROPERTY FOR $66,300.00.

Be It Ordained by The People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On March 19, 1984, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 178-84, approved by the Mayor on March 22, 1984, being a Resolution of a Declaration of Intention to order the vacation of a portion of Lane Street and a portion of Le Conte Avenue, East of San Bruno Avenue, as shown on Department of Public Works Diagram SUR 1772, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and said Director did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said Resolution.

Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on April 23, 1984, beginning at 3:00 P.M., said Board heard all persons interested in said vacation.

Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be done as declared in said Resolution No. 178-84.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on October 22, 1981, that said vacation is in conformity with the Master Plan.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said vacation subject to the conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order the said vacation and transfer to the owners of the abutting property.

Section 7. The owners of the abutting property have agreed to pay the City $66,300.00 for the City's interest in said property, which is the market value of said interest as determined by the Director of Property.

Section 8. The said portion of Lane Street and portion of Le Conte Avenue are hereby ordered vacated.

Section 9. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver to the owners of the abutting property a quitclaim deed to said vacated street area.

Section 10. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and the Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED:

Wallace Wortman
Director of Property

Jeffrey Lee
Director of Public Works

Art Carter for
Chief Administrative Officer

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Daniel L. Kronpink
Deputy City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Wm. Marconi for
City Engineer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 2 3 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors

Absent: Supervisors

John L. Taylor Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 3 0 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

393-83-2
File No.

MAY 4 1984
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-83-8 ORDINANCE NO. 1884

(Landmarks)

DESIGNATING THE METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY BUILDING AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company Building located at 600 Stockton Street, being Lot 12 in Assessor's Block 257, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of, and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company Building is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 9812 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-83-8.

(b) Required Data. The description of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

George Agnost
CITY ATTORNEY

BY Thomas J. Owen
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

BY Dean Macris
Dean L. Macris
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

90-83-8 MAY 4 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-133

ORDINANCE NO. 189-84

RO #4270 SA #177

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $135,000 FOR OTHER CURRENT EXPENSES (PEACE OFFICER TRAINING EXPENSE) AND RESCINDING $135,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
38-01-43	Police Department - Office of Civilian Complaints		
01-0010 (580043)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellanous	$ 68,000	
04-0600	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	25,512	
10-1001	Professional and Special Services	41,488	
1299 (582007)	Other Current Expenses		$135,000
	Total	$135,000	$135,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Demeyer
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAR 27 1984
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-133 File No.

MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy~~, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY, WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

IN COMMITTEE 4/18/84.

FILE NO. 46-84-6 ORDINANCE NO. 190-84

(LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE INS. CO. FOR $385,000; AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO EXECUTE A HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENT IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND AUTHORIZING THE CONTROLLER TO DEPOSIT THE PROCEEDS IN THE FINE ARTS MUSEUMS' ART TRUST FUND.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Co. v. Ollendorff Fine Arts, Inc., et al, and related cross-actions, Superior Court No. 761-203, by the payment of $385,000 to the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

1. St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Co. will pay the City $385,000 in complete settlement of the claims arising out of transit damage to the Teotihucan Murals in 1976.

2. St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Co. will assume the defense of the City in the cross-action pending between the City and Ollendorff Fine Arts.

3. The City and County of San Francisco will release St. Paul and Marine Insurance Co. from all claims for damage to the murals whether arising out of this litigation or the underlying insurance policy on which the insurance claim for damage is based.

4. The City and County of San Francisco will hold St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Co. harmless from any loss or liability on account of any claim or cause of action which a third party might pursue against St. Paul relating to damage to the murals and based on the insurance policy involved in this litigation.

///

Section 2. The City Attorney is authorized to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a Release and a Request for Dismissal with Prejudice of the litigation upon receipt of payment of $385,000 from St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Co.

Section 3. The Controller is hereby authorized to deposit the proceeds from the settlement in the Fine Arts Museums' Art Trust Fund for projects related to the Teotihuacan Murals.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE FINE ARTS MUSEUMS OF SAN FRANCISCO

By Delores C. Malone
Executive Secretary

8895C

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, ~~Walker,~~ Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

EXCUSED: RENNE

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

46-84-6 File No.

MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, ~~Renne~~, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

EXCUSED RENNE

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-150

ORDINANCE NO. 191-84

RO #4295 SA #202

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $58,490 FOR DATA/WORD PROCESSING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRITION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary (000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$58,490	
38-00-00	Police Department		
10-1050 (580050)	Data/Word Processing Professional Services		$58,490

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTRONEY

BY: Thomas A. Demeny
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 13 1984

RECOMMENDED:

Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER 1

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-150
File No.

MAY 4 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy,~~ Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker,~~ Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER 2

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-155

ORDINANCE NO. 192-84

RO #4313 SA #194

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $610,000 FOR CAPITAL PROJECT BUDGET (16TH AND HOFF PUBLIC PARKING FACILITY), PARKING AUTHORITY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking Fund		
G.L. 490 (003327)	Unappropriated Revenue	$610,000	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
20-2030 (353144)	Capital Project Budget		
Proj. 603-01	16th & Hoff Public Parking Facility		$610,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Doherty
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 23 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-155 File No.

MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy~~, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-161

ORDINANCE NO. 193-84

RO #4330 Sa #236

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $383,477 FOR VEHICLE RENTAL, OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES, OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES AND SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - MISCELLANEOUS, CAO-PUBLICITY AND ADVERTISING FOR FISCAL YEAR 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491(000026)	Budget Revenue Retained		
Subsidiary(000052)	Contributed Revenue Reserve	$383,477	
70-00-00	CAO-Publicity and Advertising		
Proj.095-00			
10-1204(420083)	Vehicle Rental		$27,000
1269	Other Contractual Services		7,000
11-1399	Other Materials and Supplies		5,000
30-3890	Services of Other Departments Miscellaneous		344,477
	TOTAL	$383,477	$383,477

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Demery
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER
APR 06 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-161 File No.

MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, ~~Kopp~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-163

ORDINANCE NO. 194-84

RO #4316 SA #209

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $19,083 FOR MEDICAL SERVICE CONTRACTS AND RESCINDING $19,083 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, PUBLIC HEALTH - CENTRAL OFFICE FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
83-01-00	Public Health - Central Office		
04-0600 (441006)	Mandatory Fringe Benefits	$19,083	
10-1010	Medical Services Contract		$19,083

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
BY: Thomas A. Dooney
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAR 27 1984
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-163 MAY 4 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy~~, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-83-164

ORDINANCE NO. 195-84

RO #4315 SA #193

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $20,000 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - REAL ESTATE, PARKING AUTHORITY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off Street Parking Fund		
G.L. 490 (003327)	Unappropriated Revenue	$20,000	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
30-3030 (353110)	Services of other Departments - Real Estate		$20,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas G. Dorsey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAR 27 1984
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors BRITT, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor ..... Clerk

101-83-164
File No.

MAY 4 1984
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy,~~ Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor ..... Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO 101-83-165

ORDINANCE NO. 196-84

RO #4321 SA #129

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING $1,757,000 FOR VARIOUS CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY FOR F/Y 1983-84.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1983-84 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating Fund		
60-6161 (044388)	Sales Tax Allocation - MTC	$1,757,000	
49-4900 (841155)	Revenue Transfer Out to 31-510 Municipal Railway Small Grants Project Fund		$1,757,000
	Total Operating Fund	$1,757,000	$1,757,000
31-510	Municipal Railway Small Grants Project Fund		
99-9990 (161216)	Revenue Transfer In from 31-001 Municipal Railway Operating Fund	$1,757,000	
35-00-00	Municipal Railway - Expenditure Appropriations		
20-2030 (350140)	Capital Projects Budget		
Proj. 571-04	Cable Car Vehicle Rehabilitation		$1,293,000
05	Revenue Center Equipment		34,000
06	Wheelchair Access		105,000
07	Miscellaneous Planning		50,000
08	Land Acquisition - Woods		25,000
09	Presidio Yard Expansion		150,000
10	"F" Market Streetcar		100,000
	Total	$1,757,000	$1,757,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A. Toomey
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAR 27 1984

RECOMMENDED:
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

101-83-165 File No. MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO 102-83-42 ORDINANCE NO. 19784

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 44

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF TWO POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and sections of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept: 29	City Planning	
		DP/DV/SC: 290100	Plans & Programs	
		Fund: 01001	General Fund	
		Object: 001	Regular Misc	
2 added	6	5278	A Planner II.	Z $912-1104

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas A. Demmy

No. 44

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WALKER WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-42 MAY 4 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy,~~ Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker,~~ Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 102-83-43 ORDINANCE NO. 198-84

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 45

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 412-83 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1983-84) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF ONE NEW PUBLIC RELATIONS OFFICER POSITION FOR THE DEPT. OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and sections of Ordinance No. 412-83 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1983-84) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.:	29 City Planning	
		DP/DV/SC:	290100 Plans & Programs	
		Fund:	01001 General Fund	
		Object:	001 Regular Misc.	
1 added	1	1314 A	Public Relations Officer.	SC,Z $1032-1249

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: James A. Dorman
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

1984 JUN -2 AM 3:23

NO. 45

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt,~~ Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, WALKER, WARD

John L. Taylor Clerk

102-83-43 File No. MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy,~~ Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker,~~ Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Diane Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 34-84-3 ORDINANCE NO. 199-84

(CHANGE SIDEWALK WIDTH)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO.1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1448 CHANGING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALK ON A PORTION OF SAN JOSE AVENUE SOUTHERLY OF GENEVA AVENUE.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Ordinance No.1061 entitled "Regulating the width of sidewalks", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1448. The width of sidewalk on the easterly side of a portion of San Jose Avenue southerly of Geneva shall be six (6) feet as shown on the Department of Public Works Plan Q-20-334 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The proponent shall relocate drainage, street lighting and Water Department facilities as well as traffic signals in accordance with applicable specifications and to the satisfaction of all City agencies involved. The proponent shall also construct new curb and sidewalk to the satisfaction of and at no cost to the Department of Public Works.

The Department of City Planning in its report dated March 2, 1984, declared that the above-described change of sidewalk width is in conformity with the Master Plan.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

34-84-3 File No. MAY 4 1984 Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy~~, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 34-84-4 ORDINANCE NO. 200-84

(CHANGE OF SIDEWALK WIDTH)

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1450 REESTABLISHING SIDEWALK ON A PORTION OF BRANNAN STREET NORTHEASTERLY OF NINTH STREET.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new Section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1450. The width of sidewalk on the southeasterly side of Brannan Street between Ninth Street and a point 275 feet northeasterly of Ninth Street shall be ten (10) feet.

Prior to construction of the new sidewalk, the proponent shall submit drainage plan for approval by the City, and shall also arrange relocation or abandonment of the railroad tracks within the proposed sidewalk area, all at no cost to the City. The new sidewalk shall be constructed to official grade and in accordance with City standards.

The Department of City Planning in its report dated March 2, 1984, stated that the proposed change of the sidewalk width as described above is in conformity with the Master Plan.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Rd
Wm Marconi Lee
City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:
Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By Thomas M. Demmer
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:
Al Ostrander for
Roger Boas
Chief Administrative Officer

1984 APR 13 PM 12:52

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 4 1984
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 23 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER

John L. Taylor Clerk

34-84-4 MAY 4 1984
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

APR 30 1984

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, ~~Kennedy~~, Kopp, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

6654 4

Utica/Omaha, Ne
Houchen
Bindery Ltd
2012